# **Brewster Area Reliability Project**

### **General Information**

Proposing entity name

Company proposal ID NEETMidAtlantic\_2020W4-02

PJM Proposal ID

Project title Brewster Area Reliability Project

NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc.'s (NEET MidAtlantic) proposed solution will provide a secondary feed to Brewster 69 kV by tapping the existing Cloverdale - E. Wooster 138 kV line, and connecting it to Brewster 69 kV station via a greenfield 138/69 kV substation (Fine Fork Station) and a new 5-mile 69 kV line between the greenfield tap location and the Brewster 69 kV station.

Yes

No

See Appendix 4, Appendix 5, and Appendix 8 for more details.

01/2024

**NXTMID** 

862

Yes

Because the NEET MidAtlantic proposal is originating the proposed 69 kV line from a new 138 kV tap, it also provides an alternate 138 kV source that is different to the 138 kV source currently serving the Harmon - Brewster 69 kV line. Therefore, in addition to addressing the radial line criteria violation NEET MidAtlantic's proposal will increase operational flexibility and system resiliency by allowing Brewster substation to stay online during a 138 kV or 69 kV bus outage at Harmon.

Project in-service date

Project description

Tie-line impact

Interregional project

Is the proposer offering a binding cap on capital costs?

Additional benefits

# **Project Components**

- 1. Brewster Station Work
- 2. Fine Fork Brewster 69 kV line
- 3. Fine Fork Station Work

2020-W4-862

### **Substation Upgrade Component**

Component title Brewster Station Work

Substation name Brewster 69 kV Station

FE-MASS (1234) Substation zone

Substation upgrade scope Re-terminate existing Brewster - Harmon 69 kV line to a new line position and connect proposed 69 kV line to the existing 69 kV line position. Install one (1) 69 kV 40 kA circuit breaker and associated

P&C equipment at available bay position.

applicable as work performed will be by incumbent.

No substation fence expansion needed as part of the proposal.

#### **Transformer Information**

None

New transformer installation will not be required as part of the proposal. New equipment description

**AMPT** 

Confidential.

Substation assumptions Brewster substation has enough space provisions to accommodate a new 69 kV line, associated circuit breakers, and P&C equipment without expanding current site. No Control House expansion is

Real-estate description

Construction responsibility

Additional comments

**Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$** 

Engineering & design Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Permitting / routing / siting Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

ROW / land acquisition Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Materials & equipment Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Construction & commissioning Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

2020-W4-862

needed. Assumption includes one existing 69kV breaker at the substation for the Harmon to Brewster 69kV line. Current substation design can accommodate additional 69kV line termination with minimal upgrades required. (Build-out existing H-frame structure). Binding Cost Cap is not

See Appendix 4, Appendix 5, and Appendix 8 for more details. Please note all Appendices are

Construction management Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Contingency Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Total component cost \$1,200,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$1,320,000.00

### **Greenfield Transmission Line Component**

Component title Fine Fork – Brewster 69 kV line

Point A Fine Fork (Greenfield Station)

Point B Brewster 69 kV

Point C

Nominal voltage

	Normal ratings	Emergency ratings
Summer (MVA)	106.000000	135.000000
Winter (MVA)	119.000000	149.000000
Conductor size and type	556 Dove ACSR	
Nominal voltage	AC	

69

Line construction type Overhead

General route description

New 69 kV line will require new 75 ft ROW to accommodate a 4.25-mile segment going south, and

a 0.75-mile segment going west.

Terrain description Right-of-way width by segment Electrical transmission infrastructure crossings Civil infrastructure/major waterway facility crossing plan **Environmental impacts** 

The NEET MidAtlantic proposed transmission line alignment traverses approximately five miles through a largely rural/agricultural area of northeastern Ohio. The area is characterized by rolling plains associated the Glaciated Alleghany Plateaus. Small fragments of forested wetlands associated with riparian corridors along streams intersect the farm fields throughout the study area. Unique or sensitive terrain is not located within the NEET MidAtlantic proposed transmission line. See Appendix 5 for more information.

NEET MidAtlantic has identified approximately 60 private landowners and 14 public crossings. Once the project design has been approved, public outreach will occur to acquire option agreements from the private landowners for the 75ft wide ROW. Once the project permits have been approved, NEET MidAtlantic will negotiate easement rights for the transmission line. Temporary access roads for constructability will be identified and acquired at that time. After construction, remediation and construction damages will be paid and processed. See Appendix 11-ROW Execution Plan.

Harmon – Brewster 69 kV circuit, Harmon – Star 345 kV circuit, South Canton – Apple Creek 138 kV circuit, South Canton – Star 345 kV circuit

Approximately 14 permits have been identified, 1 of which is the Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway Company. Once preliminary design is completed, NEET MidAtlantic will engage these agencies to start the permitting process. NEET MidAtlantic will work closely the agencies requirements and coordinate with engineering to acquire the appropriate permits.

Fatal flaws have not been identified for the NEET MidAtlantic proposed transmission line. Environmental constraints identified are manageable through implementation of NEET MidAtlantic's environmental avoidance, minimization and mitigation strategy incorporated at the beginning of the routing process. Small fragments of forested wetlands associated with the riparian corridors of streams will require tree-clearing in order to remain in compliance with overhead transmission regulations for fire safety; this activity will be permitted accordingly. Temporary impacts to herbaceous wetlands during construction will be permitted. Seven creeks are crossed by the proposed alignment. Permanent impacts to wetlands will be avoided and minimized to the extent possible with design, engineering and structure placement. Environmental permitting will be required for unavoidable impacts to wetlands. The ephemeral designation of each of the seven streams crossed with overhead and with temporary construction stream crossings will be determined and permitted accordingly. Seasonal restrictions for instream work will be adhered to in order to avoid and minimize impacts to aquatic species. The project intends to adhere to tree-clearing seasonal restriction windows to avoid and minimize impacts to protected birds and bats, such as the Indiana Bat, Northern Long-eared Bat, Bald Eagle and other common raptors. Erosion control best management practices and setbacks will be engineered and utilized to prevent sedimentation in streams for the protection of aquatic species and to avoid water quality impacts. A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey will be performed to determine the presence of archeological or culturally sensitive areas and implement NEET MidAtlantic's avoidance strategy. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the NEET MidAtlantic proposed transmission line. See Appendix 5 for more information.

Tower characteristics Proposed transmission structures will be directly embedded steel monopoles; where required, guyed structures will be used. At locations where physical loads require guying and space is not available, self-supporting steel poles on cast in place concrete caissons will be used. Structures will be framed vertically using polymer post insulators. Single Dove 556 ACSR conductor will be used for the 69 kV transmission circuit and electrical shielding will be provided by a 48 count OPGW. Construction responsibility Proposer Additional comments contains business confidential information. Additional comments **Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$** Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Engineering & design Permitting / routing / siting Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. ROW / land acquisition Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Materials & equipment Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Construction & commissioning Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Construction management Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Overheads & miscellaneous costs Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information. Contingency Total component cost \$9,358,631.00 \$9,768,630.00 Component cost (in-service year) **Greenfield Substation Component** Component title Fine Fork Station Work

Substation name Fine Fork Station (zone: FE-MASS (1234))

Substation description

Construct a new 138 kV 3000 Amps ring bus with three (3) 3000 A 40 kA circuit breakers Proposed station will be named Fine Fork station Extend Cloverdale – E. Wooster 138 kV line and connect to Fine Fork station via in and out loop

Nominal voltage AC

#### **Transformer Information**

Transformer

Voltage (kV)

Major equipment description

Summer (MVA)

Winter (MVA)

Environmental assessment

Outreach plan

Name	Capacity (MVA)	
Fine Fork Transformer	165/167/257	
High Side	Low Side	Tertiary
138	69	13.2

Install a new 138/69 kV 165/167/257 MVA transformer with associated low side 69 kV 3000 A 40 kA circuit breaker. A three-breaker ring bus configuration will be used to connect the 138kV circuits, and single breaker for the 69kV Fine Fork-Brewster transmission line. All line terminations include motorized disconnects.

Normal ratings	Emergency ratings			
165.000000	167.000000			
197.000000	205.000000			

The NEET MidAtlantic substation location is currently used for livestock. The parcel is bordered by two highways, US-30 and CR 241. Two transmission lines currently traverse the parcel. Tree-clearing impacts will be minimal and will adhere to season restrictions to protect birds and bats. Wetland delineation and Cultural Resource Assessment will help influence Civil design to avoid impacts to wetlands and cultural resources (if any). Impacts will be permitted accordingly. Stormwater best management practices and Erosion controls will ensure protection of nearby streams. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the NEET MidAtlantic substation location. Environmental impact, study and permitting will be routine and minimal. See Appendix 5 for more information.

NEET MidAtlantic would conduct community and landowner outreach by holding at least one public, informational meeting in the project area no more than 90 days in advance of filing a siting application with the OPSB, as required by O.A.C. § 4906-3-03. NEET MidAtlantic also would meet with county and local officials to discuss the Project and would obtain any necessary local approvals.

Land acquisition plan

NEET MidAtlantic will communicate and engage the private landowner to purchase area identified by engineering for the substation, once design and area footprint has been approved and completed. NEET MidAtlantic will acquire an option agreement for the future substation property identified. Once all permits have been approved, NEET MidAtlantic will exercise the agreement and finalize the purchase of the property. The private landowner that has been identified for this purchase is currently Winesburg Group Ltd.

Construction responsibility

Proposer

Additional comments

Additional comments contains business confidential information.

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Permitting / routing / siting Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

ROW / land acquisition Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Materials & equipment Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Construction & commissioning Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Construction management Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Overheads & miscellaneous costs

Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Contingency Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Total component cost \$7,107,459.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$7,897,458.00

# **Congestion Drivers**

None

## **Existing Flowgates**

FG#	From Bus No.	From Bus Name	To Bus No.	To Bus Name	СКТ	Voltage	TO Zone	Analysis type
AMPT-O1	239767	02BREWSTR	239355	02HARMON	1	69	202	FERC 715

2020-W4-862

# **New Flowgates**

None

### **Financial Information**

Capital spend start date 07/2021

Construction start date 04/2023

Project Duration (In Months) 30

### **Cost Containment Commitment**

Cost cap (in current year)

Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

Cost cap (in-service year)

Detailed cost breakdown is business confidential information.

## Components covered by cost containment

1. Fine Fork – Brewster 69 kV line - Proposer

2. Fine Fork Station Work - Proposer

### Cost elements covered by cost containment

Engineering & design Yes

Permitting / routing / siting Yes

ROW / land acquisition Yes

Materials & equipment Yes

Construction & commissioning Yes

Construction management Yes

Overheads & miscellaneous costs

Yes

Taxes Yes **AFUDC** Yes **Escalation** Yes Additional Information Additional comments contains business confidential information. Is the proposer offering a binding cap on ROE? Yes Would this ROE cap apply to the determination of AFUDC? Yes Would the proposer seek to increase the proposed ROE if FERC Yes finds that a higher ROE would not be unreasonable? Engineering & design Yes Permitting / routing / siting Yes ROW / land acquisition Yes Materials & equipment Yes Construction & commissioning Yes Construction management Yes Overheads & miscellaneous costs Yes Taxes Yes **AFUDC** Yes **Escalation** Yes Additional Information Additional comments contains business confidential information. Is the proposer offering a Debt to Equity Ratio cap? Yes

Additional cost containment measures not covered above

2020-W4-862

Additional comments contains business confidential information.

# **Additional comments**

Due to the Planning Center-Competitive Planner site having upload issue, NEET MidAtlantic is unable to upload the Project Analysis zip files to the site. As per recommended by PJM Helpdesk today (4/2/2021 at 8:47pm), we will be uploading the following appendices (Appendix 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, and 8) via PJM Secured Shared site. All appendices contains business confidential information.