


Indicate if an evaluation for interregional cost allocation is desired

Indicate if the proposal has been evaluated in a coordinated interregional analysis under the PJM Tariff or Operating Agreement provisions. Specify the analysis and applicable Tariff or Operating Agreement provisions. interregional analyses that identified the violations and issues addressed by the proposal.


## If 'yes,' specify analysis and applicable Tariff <br> or Operating Agreement provisions

N/A

## a㣨jm

| 2. | Overloaded Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Facilities addressed by the proposed project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.a. | FG \# | Analysis Type | Bus \# | Facility Name | To Bus \# | To Bus Name | CKT | Voltage | Area |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Facilities not addressed/caused by the proposed project

| Instructions: | Identify the criteria violation(s) or system constraint(s) that the proposed project causes or does not address. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Instructions: | entiy the | a violation | (s) or system | draint(s) | the proposed | ect | does not |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unique Proposer Generated ID | Analysis Type | Bus \# | Facility Name | To Bus \# | To Bus Name | CKT | Voltage | Area |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Provide a description for each major project component. Each project component will require the completion of the tab corresponding to the category of the component ("Greenfield Substation Component" tab for any proposed new substation, for example).

Provide a component project cost breakdown into the identified categories along with a total component cost. Costs should be in current year dollars.

If this proposal is being submitted as Market Efficiency project, provide an in-service year component project

Identify the entity who will be designated the component.

|  |  | Component 1 | Component 2 | Component 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.a. | Component description(s) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Construct a new 3-mile 115 kV hybrid transmission Line (rated $1,000 \mathrm{~A}$ ) from existing Lincoln to Hunterstown | Construct a new 25 MW Shealer battery storage facility to the existing Lincoln 115 kV substation at the new ring BUS | Re-configure Lincoln 115 kV substation to 5 breaker ring bus to accommodate new line |
| 3.b. | Component cost (current year) |  |  |  |
|  | Engineering and design |  |  |  |
|  | Permitting / routing / siting |  |  |  |
|  | ROW / land acquisition |  |  |  |
|  | Materials and equipment |  |  |  |
|  | Construction and commissioning |  |  |  |
|  | Construction management |  |  |  |
|  | Overheads and miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |
|  | Contingency |  |  |  |
|  | Total component cost | \$ 5,573,689.00 | \$ 14,881,438.66 | \$ 3,900,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.c. | Component cost (in-service year) | \$ 5,973,001.00 | \$ 15,259,500.00 | \$ 4,057,560.00 |
| 3.d. | Construction responsibility |  |  |  |




Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.
6.a.

| Component Number | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |

Route description by segment that includes lengths and widths and classified by whether the segment will be new right of way, an expansion of an existing right of way or use an existing right of way. This information may be included with the Google Earth .KMZ

Provide the project right of way and land acquisition plan and approach for both public and private lands

## Right of way plan by segment

 developed in conjunction with switchyard owner side. Existing ROW may require expansion. side. Existing ROW may require expansion.Segment 4: Mile 2.5: Project will interconnect to Hunterstown from the south. Final configuration will be developed in conjunction with switchyard owner
6.i.

Segment 1: Mile 0: Project will enter Lincoln 115 kV switchyard from the northwest. Final configuration will be
Segment 2: Miles 0-1.28: Project will be adjacent to existing Hunterstown - Lincoln 115 kV line on the north
Segment 3: Miles 1.28-2.6: Project will be adjacent to existing Hunterstown - Lincoln 115 kV line on the south


Greenfield Transmission Line Component

Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.
6.a.

| Component Number | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |

Crossing 1: $\sim 1.28$ miles from Lincoln, cross over existing Lincoln-Hunterstown 115 kV line Crossing 2: $\sim 2$ miles from Lincoln, cross underneath Hunterstown - Conemaugh 500 kV line Crossing 3: $\sim 2.3$ miles from Lincoln, cross underneath Hunterstown - Conemaugh 500 kV line Crossing 4: $\sim 2.36$ miles from Lincoln, cross underneath Hunterstown - Conastone 500 kV line
6.k.

Environmental impacts

A NPDES permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities would be required for this Project since greater than one acre of earth disturbance is proposed.

Any encroachment or adverse impacts to regulated aquatic resources would be permitted before construction activities can commence. This project is located in the . In the vicinity of the project area exists Chapter 93 Designated Streams, described as warm water fisheries (WWF); special precautions will be taken in the stormwater and erosion and sediment control designs.

One hundred year floodplains exist in the project vicinity. The project would avoid or minimize impacts to the maximum extent practicable by placing project infrastructure outside of the floodplain/floodway boundaries.
requires floodplain development permit for any proposed development within a FEMA-
recognized 100-year floodplain. Chapter 106 issued under Section 302 of the Flood Plain Management Act Authorization is required for construction activities within the regulated floodway boundary

It appears that this project could be constructed on the selected site with minimal to no impacts to floodplain, streams and wetlands.

The USFWS identified the federally and state endangered Indiana Bat (Myostis sodalis), Northern Long Eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis), Bog Turtle (Clemmys muhlenbergil), and Northeastern Bulrush (Scirpus ancistrochaetus) plant as potentially occurring in the vicinity of the project. The listed species should not present a permitting issue for the project as majority of the site is disturbed with agriculture activities and has no known critical habitat present. Biological field surveys and agency coordination would be conducted to validate this assumption. Tree clearing and vegetation removal activities will be targeted for the agency recommended clearing windows (i.e., winter).

Greenfield Transmission Line Component

Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.
The study area was reviewed within The Nature Conservancy's Resilient and Connected Landscapes network mapping tool; the project area lies outside of any priority resilience or connected landscapes, therefore no environmental NGO project opposition is expected

A review of the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission's Cultural Resource GIS database was completed, and found the site to be in proximity to the and the historic
Park Sel Close coordination with the and possibly the Nationa will engage state-approved archeologists and historians on additional studies and recommendations. Note, there may be properties or archaeological sites 50 years of age or older that have not yet been identified or evaluated within the project area of potential affect. During the Land Development process, a more detailed review of the subject parcels may be required by PHMC to determine if archeological or historical features exist. At this time, no known historic or culturally significant resources are anticipated to be directly impacted by the project.

A few residences and/or institutions are located in the vicinity of the proposed project that may be impacted by construction or operations. Noise and visual impact assessments will be prepared as necessary during the permitting process.

Proposed tower characteristics such as monopole, lattice, wood h-frame design, double or single circuit, and horizontal, vertical or delta conductor configurations. Note, preliminary drawings for proposed structure types are acceptable in place of a written description.
6.m.
.

Tower characteristics

Single circuit, spun concrete direct buried monopoles, delta configuration, approximately 60 ' above ground, with an average span of $450^{\prime}$.

Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.

Redacted information



Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.

Provide an assessment of the potential environmental impacts (i.e. environmental impact study requirements, environmental permitting, sediment, and erosion control issues).
7.g.


A NPDES permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities would be required for this Project since greater than one acre of earth disturbance is proposed.

Any encroachment or adverse impacts to regulated aquatic resources would be permitted before construction activities can commence. This project is located in the . In the vicinity of the project area exists Chapter 93 Designated Streams, described as warm water fisheries (WWF); special precautions will be taken in the stormwater and erosion and sediment control designs.

One hundred year floodplains exist in the project vicinity. The project would avoid or minimize impacts to the maximum extent practicable by placing project infrastructure outside of the floodplain/floodway boundaries.
requires floodplain development permit for any proposed development within a FEMA-
recognized 100 -year floodplain. Chapter 106 issued under Section 302 of the Flood Plain Management Act Authorization is required for construction activities within the regulated floodway boundary

It appears that this project could be constructed on the selected site with minimal to no impacts to floodplain, streams and wetlands.

The USFWS identified the federally and state endangered Indiana Bat (Myostis sodalis), Northern Long Eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis), Bog Turtle (Clemmys muhlenbergil), and Northeastern Bulrush (Scirpus ancistrochaetus) plant as potentially occurring in the vicinity of the project. The listed species should not present a permitting issue for the project as majority of the site is disturbed with agriculture activities and has no known critical habitat present. Biological field surveys and agency coordination would be conducted to validate this assumption. Tree clearing and vegetation removal activities will be targeted for the agency recommended clearing windows (i.e., winter).

| Component number | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| The study area was reviewed within The Nature Conservancy's Resilient and Connected Landscapes network <br> mapping tool; the project area lies outside of any priority resilience or connected landscapes, therefore no <br> environmental NGO project opposition is expected. <br> A review of the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission's Cultural Resource GIS database was <br> completed, and found the site to be in proximity to the |  |
| Park Service (NPS) is anticipated. <br> on additional studies and recommendations. Note, there may be properties or archaeological sites 50 years of <br> age or older that have not yet been identified or evaluated within the project area of potential affect. During the <br> Land Development process, a more detailed review of the subject parcels may be required by PHMC to <br> determine if archeological or historical features exist. At this time, no known historic or culturally significant <br> resources are anticipated to be directly impacted by the project. <br> A few residences and/or institutions are located in the vicinity of the proposed project that may be impacted by <br> construction or operations. Noise and visual impact assessments will be prepared as necessary during the <br> permitting process. |  |


| Component number | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Outreach plan |  |
| is committed to working with all interested stakeholders through a robust outreach and education (O\&E) program to address/respond to community concerns and inform the public about the project to the greatest extent practicable. $\square$ believes a well-designed O\&E program can have numerous benefits, including fostering a cooperative relationship with landowners and other stakeholders, expediting the regulatory permitting process, and assisting with project development. In general, the purpose of the community outreach plan is to gain community support for the project, in particular the affected community, to enable $\square$ to expeditiously comply with all relevant regulatory requirements that would permit timely construction and operation of the proposed project. Elements of the community outreach plan will include the following: <br> - Identify potential issues at an early stage by engagement with key community stakeholders at the outset; <br> - Broaden the community engagement process to identify potential and relevant community benefits that can facilitate community support for the proposed project; <br> - Develop a broad base of community support for the proposed project before the regulatory agencies; and <br> - Develop a comprehensive administrative record documenting the community outreach process that can be presented to the regulatory agency or, in the event of a legal challenge, to the appropriate court. <br> The plan proposes to dedicate considerable time and resources in engaging the community, and specifically the affected community during the planning process to identify highly sensitive areas that have the least amount of cultural, environmental, and social impacts on the community. The plans will reflect avoidance of impacts rather than mitigation. However, in some cases, if avoidance is not possible, then $\square$ will involve the community in providing appropriate and practical mitigation measures. |  |

Instructions

Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.
7.a.

| Component number | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |

7.i.

Provide the project land acquisition plan and approach for both public and private lands.

Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.

Land acquisition plan
Key elements in Key elements inprojects in PJM, include:

- Proactively conducting a market analysis of land values in the project area;
- Producing a fair and comprehensive land acquisition plan and schedule for securing necessary land rights and site control;
- Utilizing local land acquisition teams knowledgeable of the project area; and
- Taking a transparent approach in discussing the project and subject property.
will negotiate agreements with the landowners of the proposed project area. address issues as they arise, before and after acquisition of land rights. is committed to address issues as they arise, before and after acquisition of land rights duration of the project. landowners, focusing on regular communication, to understand and address issues on an ongoing basis. private lands, and to restoring the construction sites of the projects to be both good stewards of the environment and good neighbors in the communities in which live and work.
7.j.
approach to the landowner negotiation process for this project, and other
$\square$ development interests in the philosophy for landowner relations is to work with residents during all phases of a project to is committed to uses a collaborative and consultative approach to working with
is also committed to using design and construction techniques that minimize impacts on



## Substation Upgrade Component

5. Substation Upgrade Component
Instructions
Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.
Identify the name of the existing substation where the upgrade will take place.

Describe the scope of the upgrade work at the identified substation.

Describe any new substation equipment and provide the equipment ratings.

Describe the assumptions that were made about the substation that were used in developing the scope and cost for the upgrade. For example, the use of a bay that appears to be available, the proposed use of an open area within the substation or the relocation of existing equipment.

If the upgrade changes or expands upon the substation configuration provide a single line diagram and a station general arrangement drawing. These documents should be provided on the 'Redacted Information' tab under the appropriate project component.

If the substation fence needs to be expanded, indicate the real-estate plan for acquiring the needed land Also, provide a Google Earth .KMZ file detailing the expansion.

Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.


## Substation Upgrade Component

5. Substation Upgrade Component
Instructions
Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.
Identify the name of the existing substation where the upgrade will take place.

Describe the scope of the upgrade work at the identified substation.

Describe any new substation equipment and provide the equipment ratings.

Describe the assumptions that were made about the substation that were used in developing the scope and cost for the upgrade. For example, the use of a bay that appears to be available, the proposed use of an open area within the substation or the relocation of existing equipment.

If the upgrade changes or expands upon the substation configuration provide a single line diagram and a station general arrangement drawing. These documents should be provided on the 'Redacted Information' tab under the appropriate project component.

If the substation fence needs to be expanded, indicate the real-estate plan for acquiring the needed land Also, provide a Google Earth .KMZ file detailing the expansion.

Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.


Redacted Information

## 8 Redacted information

## Project Financial Information

planned construction period, include the month and 9.a year of when capital spend will begin, when construction will begin and when construction will end. The final construction month should be the month preceding the commercial operation month.

Provide, in present year dollars, capital expenditure estimates by year for the Proposing Entity, work to be completed by others (e.g. incumbent TO) and total project. Capital expenditure estimates should include all capital expenditure, including any ongoing expenditures, for which the Proposing Entity plans to seek FERC approval for recovery.
9.b.

Project Schedule

| Capital spend start date (Mo-Yr) | Jan-20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Construction start date (Mo-Yr) Mar-21 |  |
| Commercial operation date (Mo-Yr) | Dec-21 |

Project Capital Expenditures

| Capital expenditure details | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engineering and design |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitting / routing / siting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROW / land acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Materials and equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and commissioning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overheads and miscellaneous costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposer total capex | \$ 20,455,127.66 | \$ 10,484,638.67 | \$ 9,970,488.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Work by others capex | \$ 4,400,000.00 | \$ | \$ 4,400,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Total project capex | \$ 24,855,127.66 | \$ 10,484,638.67 | \$ 14,370,488.99 |  |  |  |  |

Even if AFUDC is not going to be employed, provide a yearly AFUDC cash flow.
9.c.

|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFUDC | $\$ 1,795,649.15$ | $\$$ | $459,160.85$ | $\$ 1,336,488.30$ |  |  |  |

Provide any assumptions for the capital expenditure estimate e.g. design assumptions, weather, manpower needed and work schedule, number of hours per day, construction area

Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction
9.d.

Assumptions for the capital expenditure
estimate
-Includes sales and property tax
Non-union wages
-Construction work schedule assumes standard 5-8 (40 hours per week), no work outside of daylight
-Const
9.e.

Instructions

## Inputs

Provide a description of the cost containment mechanism being proposed.

Indicate what project scope is covered by the proposed cost containment commitment. Identify the components covered by number.

Provide, in present year dollars and year of occurrence dollars, the Proposing Entity's proposed binding cap on capital expenditures.

Provide any additional information related to the cap on capital expenditures including but not limited to: if AFUDC is included in the cap, if all costs prior to commercial operation date are included in the cap, if the cap includes a variable or fixed inflation rate, etc.

Indicate which components of capital costs fall under the cost cap.

10.b.

Project scope covered by the cost containment commitment

Project Component 1 and Project Component 2

10.b.ii. Additional Information on cost cap:

With the exception of adjustments for inflation and "excluded costs" as identified in 10.d, all costs prior to commercial operation are included in the proposed cost containment commitment. See proposed cost commitment language in 10.d for more details.

| 10.b.iii | Cost containment capital expenditure <br> exemptions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capital cost component | Component covered <br> by cost <br> containment |
| Engineering and design | Yes |
| Permitting / routing / siting | Yes |
| ROW / land acquisition | Yes |
| Materials and equipment | Yes |
| Construction and commissioning | Yes |
| Construction management | Yes |
| Overheads and miscellaneous costs | Yes |
| Taxes | Yes |
| AFUDC | Yes |
| Escalation | Yes |



Cost Containment Commitment

Inputs

Actuals Exceed Commitment
10.e.
agrees that it will not seek recovery through its Annual Transmission Revenue Requirement of any Construction Costs in excess of an amount equal to the lessor of (i) the Construction Cost Cap Amount, as adjusted for inflation, or (ii) the aggregate amount of actual construction costs associated with the Project.
10.f.

Redacted information

Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.

