

Table of Contents

PURPOSE..... 2

APPLICABILITY 2

1. KEY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS..... 2

2. INTRODUCTION 3

3. NORMAL AND EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT LOADABILITY LIMITS..... 4

4. NORMAL AND EMERGENCY VOLTAGE LIMITS AND REGULATION 5

5. SOLUTION PARAMETERS USED IN PLANNING STUDIES 6

6. THERMAL AND VOLTAGE ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS 7

7. VOLTAGE STABILITY REQUIREMENTS 13

8. SYSTEM TRANSIENT STABILITY 14

9. REACTIVE POWER REQUIREMENTS 16

10. CASCADE ANALYSIS 17

11. LOSS OF LOAD CRITERIA 18

12. BREAKER INTERRUPTING CAPABILITY 19

13. AIRBREAK SWITCH CAPABILITY 20

14. FACILITY CONNECTION REQUIREMENTS..... 22

APPENDIX A – SUMMARY OF PLANNING CRITERIA 23

RELATED DOCUMENTS 24

REVISION HISTORY 24

APPROVALS 27

Purpose

This reference document outlines the criteria that are developed, maintained, and implemented by FirstEnergy's (FE) Transmission Planning and Protection Department (TPP) and apply to FE-owned transmission facilities for which TPP has planning responsibility.

For the purposes of this document, "Transmission" refers to FE-owned facilities for which TPP has planning responsibility, including:

- Facilities connected at 100 kV and above (BES)
- Facilities connected below 100 kV, but generally voltage levels ≥ 23 kV or higher, that are normally operated in a networked manner or are under the control of the transmission control center (Non-BES).

Systems with voltages under 100 kV that are not normally operated in a networked manner or are not under the control of the transmission control center are considered Distribution facilities and are not covered by this document.

Applicability

These criteria apply to TPP personnel in the performance of their transmission planning duties.

1. Key Terms and Definitions

- 1.1. **Bulk Electric System (BES)** – Facilities ≥ 100 kV. For transformers, the secondary side voltage is used to determine if the facility is part of the BES or not.
- 1.2. **NERC** – North American Electric Reliability Corporation
- 1.3. **RF** – ReliabilityFirst
- 1.4. **RTO** – Regional Transmission Organization; PJM fills this role for FE.
- 1.5. **50/50 peak load** – Forecast for which there is a 50% probability that the actual peak load for the season will be less than the forecast and a 50% probability that it will be higher.
- 1.6. **90/10 peak load** – Forecast for which there is a 90% probability that the actual peak load for the season will be less than the forecast and a 10% probability that it will be higher.
- 1.7. **Double Circuit Tower Line** – Any pair of transmission lines (breaker to breaker) that share the same set of structures for at least one mile in total.
- 1.8. **Generation Dispatch** – Process of matching generation output to load requirements in a defined area.
- 1.9. **Intermediate Load Level** – Normally 70% of the 50/50 summer peak load forecast.
- 1.10. **Light Load Level** – Normally ranges from 30% to 55% of the seasonal peak load forecast.
- 1.11. **Spring Season Model** – For purposes of modeling, use load scaled to the April peak.
- 1.12. **Summer Season Ratings** – For purposes of the equipment ratings used in planning evaluations, summer season shall be from April 15 through October 15.
- 1.13. **Fall Season Model** – For purposes of modeling, use load scaled to the October peak.
- 1.14. **Winter Season Ratings** – For purposes of the equipment ratings used in planning evaluations, Winter Season shall be from October 15 through April 15.

2. Introduction

2.1. Objectives

- 2.1.1. This reference guide is intended to meet or exceed all applicable minimum requirements of NERC, RF, and PJM.
- 2.1.2. The applicable requirements are defined in documents periodically developed and reviewed by these organizations with industry wide input.
- 2.1.3. In the event that one of these organizations imposes more stringent requirements than those defined in this document, those more stringent requirements will prevail.

2.2. Scope

- 2.2.1. This document covers FE planning criteria associated with the transmission systems, including the following:
 - Voltage level criteria
 - Voltage and transient stability requirements
 - Load curtailment criteria
 - Voltage regulation requirements
 - Reactive power requirements
 - Short circuit requirements
- 2.2.2. Loadability criteria for circuits including transformers are described in separate documents.
- 2.2.3. Transmission requirements covering loadability, connection requirements, and system protection are included in other TPP documents, as listed in the Related Documents section of this document.

3. Normal and Emergency Equipment Loadability Limits

3.1. Transmission Circuit Loadability

- 3.1.1. Transmission circuit ratings are unique for a given season (summer or winter) and consist of:
 - Normal
 - Long Term Emergency (LTE)
 - Short Term Emergency (STE)
 - Load Dump (LD)
- 3.1.2. In general, the STE and LTE ratings of a transmission line are identical.
 - There may be instances where the STE is greater than the LTE depending on the limiting transmission line component.
 - Load Dump ratings are only applicable in real time operations.
- 3.1.3. Changes in transmission circuit rating resulting from the loss of parallel facilities in a ring bus or other switching arrangements shall be considered in the analysis of extended forced or scheduled outage analysis.

3.2. Transformer Rating Definitions

The following rating definitions are utilized for transformers:

- 3.2.1. **Normal Rating** – Defined in the FE Facility Rating Methodology document as the rating applicable for a daily peak load cycle that can be accommodated repeatedly, during the defined season, over the life of the transformer.
- 3.2.2. **LTE Rating** – The six-month transformer rating as defined in the FE Facility Rating Methodology document is used as the LTE rating for all transformers. The LTE rating is defined as the daily peak load cycle that can be accommodated repeatedly for six month period.
- 3.2.3. **STE Rating** – The four-hour transformer rating as defined in the FE Facility Rating Methodology document is used as the STE rating for all transformers.

3.3. Ratings Used in Power Flow Models

The following ratings will be used in all power flow models:

Table 1: Ratings for Power Flow Models

Rates	BES Facilities		All Other Facilities	
	Transformers	Lines	Transformers	Lines
Rate 1	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Rate 2	STE	STE	LTE	STE
Rate 3	LD	LD	LD	LD

4. Normal and Emergency Voltage Limits and Regulation

4.1. Steady State Voltage Level Limits

- 4.1.1. The limits for voltages (in per unit of nominal bus voltage) on transmission buses for normal and emergency operating conditions are listed in *Table 2: Voltage Limits*.
- 4.1.2. The Maximum, Normal Minimum, and Emergency Minimum limits in Table 2 below apply to voltages and are values following system adjustments identified in *Section 5, Solution Parameters Used in Planning Studies*.
- 4.1.3. The Maximum Deviation limits in Table 2 are the difference between the voltage pre-contingency, following any system adjustments; and the voltage post-contingency with only solution adjustments identified in *Section 5.2*. For Subsequent Contingency analyses, “pre-contingency” refers to the solution after the initial contingency. See the below sub-section “Fast-Switched Capacitor Voltage Criteria” regarding potential mitigation with Fast-Switched Capacitors.
- 4.1.4. Maximum Deviation limits also apply to the difference between voltages in on-peak and off-peak base cases.

Table 2: Voltage Limits

Limit	500 kV	230/ 345 kV	138/115 kV		69/46/34.5 k V	25/23 k V
	ALL	ALL	BES	Non-BES	ALL	ALL
Maximum	1.10	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.075
Normal Minimum	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.92
Emergency Minimum	0.97	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.90	0.90
Maximum Deviation (Pre- to Post- Contingency and On- to-Off Peak)	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10

- 4.1.5. The voltage limits defined above do not preclude more restrictive voltage screening limits which may be requested by nuclear power plants to support safety related equipment. Power plant owners must provide rationale for requesting a deviation from these standard voltage ranges and any more restrictive voltage screening limits will be mutually agreed upon by the power plant and the transmission owner. FE adheres to specific voltage limits found in the latest Nuclear Plant Interface Agreements (NPIRs).
- 4.1.6. These more restrictive screening limits shall be used during the normal assessment processes and if violations are identified, the Planning Engineer shall issue a written notification to the Nuclear Plant Owner describing the nature of the NPIR violation. The Transmission Owner is not obligated to build or otherwise modify the transmission system to support these more restrictive voltage screening limits.
- 4.1.7. The voltage levels apply to steady state voltage levels and not to momentary voltage excursions or transients such as those associated with the switching of FE transmission or customer facilities. The NUC-001 standard will be reviewed to ensure that the correct voltages are used in all control files prior to performing assessments.

4.2. Voltage Change as Result of Capacitor or Reactor Switching

- 4.2.1. Under normal operating conditions, the steady state change in system voltage caused by capacitor or reactor switching shall not exceed 3%.
- 4.2.2. All new capacitors and reactors shall be evaluated with the strongest single source out of service to ensure that the steady state voltage change caused by switching the capacitor or reactor does not exceed the bandwidth of the capacitor or reactor automatic voltage control.

4.3. Fast-Switched Capacitor Voltage Criteria

When studies indicate that the contingency voltage deviation is greater than the maximum voltage deviation value specified in *Table 2: Voltage Limits*, it may be acceptable to use fast switched capacitors to address this criteria violation.

- 4.3.1. If post-contingency voltage remains above 85%, then fast switched capacitors with switching times in the range of 3 to 5 seconds can be used to address the criteria violation.
- 4.3.2. If post-contingency voltage drops below 85%, or if the case is non-convergent, then a dynamic stability study is required to determine if using a fast-switched capacitor is adequate to prevent voltage collapse and if so, to define the required switching times.

5. Solution Parameters Used in Planning Studies

SECTION NOTE

Limits on controls apply only to those facilities in the FE footprint being studied and need not be applied across the entire case.

5.1. Pre-Contingency

For evaluation of pre-contingency thermal criteria, and pre-contingency voltage, or after any system adjustments:

- Generators will be set to regulate their associated transmission level bus.
- All transformer taps, switched shunts, SVC or STATCOM, and DC lines are allowed to adjust.
- Phase shifters are allowed to adjust.

5.2. Voltage Deviation

For evaluation of post-contingency voltage deviation criteria:

- 5.2.1. Generators are set to regulate their terminal voltage to the pre-contingency voltage level.
- 5.2.2. All phase shifters, transformer taps, switched shunts, and DC lines are locked at their pre-contingency positions.
- 5.2.3. Static VAR Compensators (SVC or STATCOM) and fast-switched capacitors are allowed to adjust.

5.3. Emergency Minimum Voltage

For evaluation of post-contingency emergency minimum voltage:

- 5.3.1. Generators are set to regulate their associated transmission level bus.
- 5.3.2. All transformer taps, switched shunts, SVC or STATCOM, and DC lines are allowed to adjust.
- 5.3.3. Phase shifters are locked at their pre-contingency positions.

5.4. Thermal

For evaluation of post-contingency thermal criteria:

- 5.4.1. Generators will be set to regulate their associated transmission level bus.
- 5.4.2. All transformer taps, switched shunts, SVC or STATCOM, and DC lines are allowed to adjust.
- 5.4.3. Phase shifters may be allowed to adjust if they could impact the area being studied.

6. Thermal and Voltage Assessment Requirements

SECTION NOTE

Refer to *Appendix A, Summary of Planning Criteria*, for a tabular summary of the criteria detailed in *Sections 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3*.

6.1. Normal Loading/Voltage Analysis (NERC Category P0)

6.1.1. The FE transmission system will be developed so that it can be operated at the expected peak and at lower load levels, such that with the transmission system in its normal configuration:

- The loading on each transmission facility shall not exceed the seasonal normal rating of the facility.
- The voltage at each transmission substation shall be within the normal range as specified in *Section 4.1*.

6.2. Circuit Loading and Voltage Analysis

The FE transmission system is developed so that it can be operated at the expected peak and at lower load levels, such that the following contingencies will not cause transmission circuit loadings to exceed applicable ratings, will not violate the emergency voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*, and will not cause voltage or angular instability or cascading outages (See *Section 10, Cascade Analysis*).

Uncontrolled load loss impacting the BES is not permissible for NERC category P1 through P7 planning events; however, controlled load shedding may be permissible under certain contingency conditions.

- Additional information regarding load loss criteria is detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*.

When performing circuit loading and voltage analysis, similar contingencies in neighboring systems and their impact on the FE system shall be considered.

6.2.1. Single Contingency (NERC Category P1)

6.2.1.1 BES Transmission Facilities

- The loss of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line will not cause transmission loading to exceed the seasonal STE rating of any transmission facility. Voltages on the remaining networked system must meet the steady state voltage level limits criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*. Voltages at buses left being fed radially by a single facility ≥ 100 kV following the outage must meet the steady state voltage level limits criteria for the non-BES as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- For purposes of this requirement, all transmission elements included in the primary zone of protection of any generator, transmission line, or transformer shall be considered to be part of the single element testing. Automatic shedding of load is not permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.
- After the outage, the system must be capable of readjustment so that all transmission equipment will be loaded within the normal ratings and within normal voltage limits. Readjustment can include the re-dispatch of generation or switching operations to reconfigure system topology. Any proposed adjustment needs to be tested in combination with other single contingencies and be able to meet the requirements in *Sub-Section, Subsequent Single Contingencies* below. Load shedding is not permitted to return the system to within normal limits.

6.2.1.2 All Non-BES Transmission Facilities

- The loss of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line will not cause loading to exceed the seasonal LTE of any transformer or the STE rating of any transmission line, violate either the maximum deviation or the emergency minimum voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- For purposes of this requirement, all transmission elements included in the primary zone of protection of any generator, transmission line, or transformer shall be considered to be part of the single element testing.
- For situations where the primary zone of protection includes more than one transmission element (line and/or transformer) and there is the ability to isolate the faulted element and restore the non-faulted elements within 5 minutes, transmission loads shall not exceed seasonal STE immediately following the contingency and shall not exceed the seasonal LTE after the faulted equipment is isolated.

Subsequent Single Contingencies (NERC Category P3 & P6, N-1-1)**6.2.2. Contingency Subsequent to Generator Outage (NERC Category P3)**

For BES Transmission Facilities:

- After the initial outage of any single generator (NERC Category P1-1) and the readjustment of the system, a subsequent outage of any remaining single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line will not cause loadings to exceed the seasonal STE rating of any transmission facility or violate either the maximum deviation or the emergency minimum voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- Automatic shedding of load is not permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.
- In situations where the subsequent outage results in a pocket of load being served radially by a single ≥ 100 kV facility, manual load shedding, up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, is permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.
- For the initial outage of selected generators deemed significant to each region, after the second outage, the system must be capable of readjustment so that all transmission equipment will be loaded within the normal ratings and within normal voltage limits. Readjustment can include the re-dispatch of generation or switching operations to reconfigure system topology. Load shedding is not permitted to return the system to within normal limits. Voltages at buses left being fed radially by a single BES facility, or isolated from the networked BES, following the second outage following the outage must meet the steady state normal voltage level limits criteria for the non-BES as specified in *Section 4.1*.

For Non-BES Transmission Facilities:

- For the initial outage of selected generators deemed significant to each region, after the initial outage of that generator and the readjustment of the system, the subsequent loss of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line will not cause loading to exceed the seasonal LTE of any transformer or the STE rating of any transmission line, nor violate either the maximum deviation nor the emergency minimum voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- For situations where the primary zone of protection includes more than one transmission element (line and/or transformer) and there is the ability to isolate the faulted element and restore the non-faulted elements within five minutes, transmission loads shall not exceed seasonal STE immediately following the contingency and shall not exceed the seasonal LTE after the faulted equipment is isolated.

6.2.3. Contingency Subsequent to Non-Generator Outage (NERC Category P6)**6.2.3.1 BES Transmission Facilities**

- After the initial outage of any single transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line (NERC Category P1-2, P1-3, P1-4 or P1-5) and the readjustment of the system, a subsequent outage of any remaining single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line will not cause loadings to exceed the seasonal STE rating of any transmission facility or violate either the maximum deviation or the emergency minimum voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.

- Automatic shedding of load, up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, is permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.
- In situations where the subsequent outage results in a pocket of load being served radially by a single BES facility, or isolated from the networked BES following the second outage, manual load shedding, up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, is permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.

6.2.3.2 Non-BES Transmission Facilities

- There are no NERC, RF, or FE criteria regarding Category P6 analysis of non-BES facilities.

6.2.4. Multiple Element Outage (NERC Category P2-2, P2-3, P2-4, P4, P5 & P7)

6.2.4.1 BES Transmission Facilities

- The loss of any BES double-circuit tower line, bipolar DC line, faulted circuit breaker, bus section, or the combination of facilities resulting from a line fault coupled with a stuck breaker shall not cause loadings to exceed the seasonal STE rating of any transmission facility or violate either the maximum deviation or the emergency minimum voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- Automatic shedding of load, up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, is permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.
- In situations where the outage results in a pocket of load being served radially by a single ≥ 100 kV facility, manual load shedding, up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, is permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.

6.2.4.2 Non-BES Transmission Facilities

- There are no NERC, RF, or FE criteria regarding Category P2-2, P2-3, P2-4, P4, P5, and P7 analysis of non-BES facilities.

6.2.5. Double Contingencies for JCPL Barnegat Peninsula (N-2)

- Due to specific requirements of the New Jersey BPU, the JCP&L 34.5 kV system in the Barnegat Peninsula area shall be planned and constructed to meet N-2 planning criteria. Specifically, for faults located on submarine cables or any transmission/transmission <100 kV feeder crossing Barnegat Bay, the system must be planned for the loss of any two water crossing feeders.
- Following the N-2 event, the system must be capable of readjustment so that all transmission equipment will be loaded within the normal ratings and within normal voltage limits. Load shedding is not permitted to return the system to within normal limits.

6.3. Maintenance Condition Contingency Analysis

The FE transmission system will be developed so that at Spring/Fall Peak and lower load levels, a single transmission element can be removed for maintenance and a subsequent single contingency:

- Will not cause transmission circuit loadings to exceed applicable ratings.
- Will not cause instability or cascading outages.
- Will not violate the emergency voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.

The ability to withstand these contingencies for non-firm transfer conditions is not required.

6.3.1. BES Transmission Facilities

- With a pre-existing outage of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, circuit breaker (NERC Category P2-1), capacitor, single pole of a bi-polar DC line, the additional loss of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line will not cause transmission loading to exceed the seasonal STE rating of any transmission facility or violate either the maximum deviation or the emergency minimum voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- For purposes of this requirement, all transmission elements included in the primary zone of protection of any generator, transmission line, or transformer shall be considered to be part of the single element testing. Maintenance condition contingency analysis is treated as NERC Category P1 contingencies in that automatic shedding of load is not permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.
- After the outage, the system must be capable of readjustment so that all transmission equipment will be loaded within the seasonal normal ratings and within the normal voltage limits as specified in *Section 4.1*. Readjustment can include the re-dispatch of generation or switching operations to reconfigure system topology.

6.3.2. Non-BES Transmission Facilities

- There are no NERC, RF, or FE criteria regarding maintenance condition analysis of non-BES facilities.

6.4. Open Ended Line or Line Restoration Contingency Analysis (NERC Category P2-1)

- 6.4.1. Open ending (either through the opening of a breaker without a fault or during line restoration) a normally networked transmission line or one which could be networked will not cause adjacent networked transmission facility loadings to exceed applicable ratings, will not cause instability or cascading outages, and will not cause adjacent networked facilities to violate the voltage criteria as specified in *Section 4.1*.
- 6.4.2. The open-ended facility itself may, under peak conditions, experience some combination of loading beyond STE rating or steady state voltages less than or voltage deviations greater than those specified in *Section 4.1* and may require some level of local load to be shed for a limited time period in order to meet planning criteria.
- This potential loss of load is acceptable if the loss of load risk is less than 100 hours per year and the amount of load at risk is less than that detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*.

6.5. Maximum Credible Disturbance (MCD)/ Extreme Contingency Testing (NERC Extreme Events) - Facilities >200 kV

- 6.5.1. FE facilities >200 kV shall be tested to determine the effects of various types of severe contingencies on system performance, including voltage and angular stability. (The 200 kV cutoff level was selected based on engineering judgment that MCD events at lower voltages would not have a widespread impact on the BES.) These tests are performed as a means to study the system for its ability to withstand disturbances beyond those, which would reasonably be expected.

- 6.5.2. Examples of these less probable contingencies to be studied include:
- Loss of a single generator, transmission circuit, single pole of a bipolar DC line, shunt device, or transformer followed by the loss of another single generator, transmission circuit, single pole of a bipolar DC line, shunt device, or transformer prior to system readjustments between outages
 - Local area events affecting the transmission System such as:
 - Sudden loss of the entire generation capability of any station for any reason
 - Sudden loss of all lines on a single right-of-way
 - Sudden loss of a tower line with three or more circuits
 - Sudden loss of all lines and transformers of one voltage emanating from a substation or switching station
 - Sudden dropping of a large load or major load center
 - Wide area events affecting the transmission System, including loss of two generating stations resulting from conditions such as:
 - Loss of a large gas pipeline into a region or multiple regions that have significant gas-fired generation.
 - Loss of the use of a large body of water as the cooling source for generation
 - Wildfires
 - Severe weather (e.g., hurricanes, tornadoes, etc.)
 - A successful cyber attack
 - Shutdown of a nuclear power plant(s) and related facilities for a day or more for common causes such as problems with similarly designed plants.
 - Failure of a fully redundant Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) to operate when required.
 - Operation, partial operation, or misoperation of a fully redundant RAS for an event or condition for which it was not intended to operate.
- 6.5.3. In the event these tests indicate potential for a cascading outage, an evaluation shall be conducted to determine:
- Severity of consequences to the FE and adjacent systems of such a disturbance.
 - General scope of a capital project(s) to correct the condition.
 - Operating steps which would be required to minimize the severity of the disturbance.
- 6.5.4. Based on this evaluation, a decision will be made as to whether a capital project should be developed to mitigate the potential risk.
- One option that should be considered to mitigate these situations is the installation of UVLS or a RAS.

7. Voltage Stability Requirements

- 7.1. The FE transmission system will be developed such that it can be operated at the expected peak and at lower load levels such that the system will maintain voltage stability with a single generating unit or a specified transformer, transmission line, or reactive device (capacitor, static VAR compensator, synchronous condenser, etc.) out of service as a pre-existing system condition followed by the loss of another single transmission system element.
- 7.2. PV analysis shall be used as the method of testing voltage stability.
 - 7.2.1. This analysis shall be performed using a system model with an initial load equal to the 50/50 load forecast for the area under study.
 - 7.2.2. System load will be incremented at a 0.85 power factor while simulating the contingency and then recording voltages at select transmission buses.
 - 7.2.3. The process of incrementing load, simulating the contingency and recording voltages is repeated until the power flow will no longer converge.
 - 7.2.4. In order for the system to be considered stable, the load in the area under study must be able to be incremented beyond the 90/10 forecasted peak prior to any voltage instability as indicated by the slope of the PV curve or by the power flow case failing to converge.
- 7.3. While not a requirement for voltage stability testing, the system may also be evaluated for the most severe combination of two generating units plus a single transmission element out of service and for the most severe combination of one generating unit plus two transmission elements out of service.
 - 7.3.1. Based on this evaluation, operating parameters such as area reactive reserve requirements can be developed.
 - 7.3.2. Additionally, a determination can be made as to whether a project should be considered to mitigate the potential risk including the installation of UVLS or a RAS.

8. System Transient Stability

The FE transmission system will be developed such that it can be operated at the expected peak and at light load levels in compliance with the following criteria:

8.1. Single Element Stability Analysis (NERC Category P1)

- 8.1.1. The loss of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, or shunt device without a fault.
- 8.1.2. The loss of any single generating unit, transmission line, transformer, or shunt device as a result of a single line-to-ground or three-phase fault with normal clearing.
- 8.1.3. Loss of a single pole of a bi-polar DC line.
- 8.1.4. Criteria:
 - All generating units in the system must maintain transient stability during and after the contingency. A generating unit is considered to be stable if the machine rotor angle and speed curves are well damped by the end of a 15-second simulation.
 - The contingency must not cause system instability or cascading outages.
 - Selected system voltages and system frequency shall be monitored as part of observing system stability.
 - For these single element outages, it is not acceptable to trip a unit or units to maintain system stability.

8.2. Subsequent Contingency Stability Analysis (NERC Category P3 & P6)

- 8.2.1. The subsequent contingency is defined as the occurrence of any of the events listed in *Section 8.1*, followed by manual system adjustments and a second event from *Section 8.1*.
- 8.2.2. Criteria
 - The contingency must not cause system instability or cascading outages.
 - For these multi-element outages, it is acceptable to trip a local unit or units to maintain system stability, but all other generating units in the system must maintain transient stability during and after the contingency. A generating unit is considered to be stable if the machine rotor angle and speed curves are well damped by the end of a 15-second simulation.
 - Tripping of units to maintain system stability must be accomplished via local protective relays. Remote tripping to maintain stability is not permissible.
 - Reducing stuck breaker and/or backup clearing times to provide adequate margin for relay coordination will be evaluated before any unit tripping is accepted.
 - The choice of stability corrective measures such as faster relaying, independent pole operation of breakers, additional transmission, restricted unit output or unit tripping must be made on an individual case basis after considering the probability of the occurrence, the severity of the disturbance, and economics.
 - Selected system voltages and system frequency shall be monitored as part of observing system stability.

8.3. Multiple Element Outage Stability Analysis (NERC Category P4, P5, & P7)

- 8.3.1. Loss of any bus section as a result of a single-phase fault with normal clearing.
- 8.3.2. Loss of a breaker as a result of an internal single-phase fault with normal clearing.
- 8.3.3. Loss of a generator, transmission line, transformer, or bus section as a result of a single-phase fault with delayed clearing (stuck breaker or protection system failure).
- 8.3.4. Criteria:
 - The contingency must not cause system instability or cascading outages.
 - For these multi-element outages, it is acceptable to trip a local unit or units to maintain system stability, but all other generating units in the system must maintain transient stability during and after the contingency. A generating unit is considered to be stable if the machine rotor angle and speed curves are well damped by the end of a 15-second simulation.
 - Tripping of units to maintain system stability must be accomplished via local protective relays. Remote tripping to maintain stability is not permissible.
 - Reducing stuck breaker and/or backup clearing times to provide adequate margin for relay coordination will be evaluated before any unit tripping is accepted.
 - The choice of stability corrective measures such as faster relaying, independent pole operation of breakers, additional transmission, restricted unit output or unit tripping must be made on an individual case basis after considering the probability of the occurrence, the severity of the disturbance, and economics.
 - Selected system voltages and system frequency shall be monitored as part of observing system stability.

9. Reactive Power Requirements

9.1. Requirements at Transmission System Load Delivery Points

- 9.1.1. The power factor of the load reflected to the transmission system should be maintained as close to unity as possible.
- 9.1.2. The minimum requirement for connected load is that the power factor is between 0.97 lagging to 0.99 leading.
- 9.1.3. Refer to ***TPP-REF-004 Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities*** for more details regarding this requirement.

9.2. Requirements on the Transmission System

- 9.2.1. The need for supplemental voltage support and the need to accommodate the import, export, and transfer of power primarily determine the need for additional reactive compensation installed on the transmission system.

- The requirements of the transmission system are based on the assumption that the delivery points are meeting the above stated requirement.

Where practical and economically justifiable, the reactive power losses on the transmission system should be supplied by reactive resources on the transmission system.

10. Cascade Analysis

- 10.1. Cascade analysis shall be performed for all NERC scenarios including Extreme Event scenarios that result in a transmission line or transformer loading beyond 125% of appropriate seasonal rating.
 - The 125% level is selected as a proxy for the point where a protective relay may operate as the result of an overload.
 - The potential for a cascading outage is determined using the steady state power flow model and the following method.
 - After simulation of a contingency, facilities meeting the following criteria are removed from service and the case is resolved.
- 10.1.1. Facilities loaded to 125% or greater of the seasonal short term emergency rating for the first case solution immediately following the contingency and 100% or greater than summer short term emergency for subsequent case solutions.
- 10.1.2. Generators with terminal voltages below their minimum operating voltage. These voltages are provided by the individual plant owners for each unit.
 - If no information has been provided by a plant owner, then V_{min} is assumed to be 95%.
- 10.1.3. The process is repeated until either the case fails to converge indicating the potential for a system collapse or until neither of the two criteria above are violated, indicating an ultimately stable system.
- 10.1.4. This process is limited to three successive passes after which it is assumed that there is a potential for system collapse.
- 10.1.5. In the event that this steady state analysis indicates a possible collapse, additional analysis is performed using dynamic simulation for verification.
- 10.2. Automatic and/or manual load shedding up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, are permitted to prevent a cascading event on the transmission system.
 - 10.2.1. If load shedding beyond these values is required to prevent a system cascade, then system reinforcements or modifications needed to limit load shedding to values below those described in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, will be developed and implemented.
- 10.3. The contingencies that indicate the possibility of a system cascade using the above method will be identified and will be communicated to both the appropriate FE System Control Center and PJM.
- 10.4. For cascade analysis of NERC Category P1 through P7 contingencies, any uncontrolled load loss as a consequence of the tripping that occurs in the above method, or load loss assumed as a consequence of voltage below 0.85 p.u., regardless whether it stabilizes within three passes, is a violation under *Section 11.1, Loss of Load Criteria*.

11. Loss of Load Criteria

- 11.1. **Uncontrolled Load Loss** – Loss of load that is unplanned or unexpected caused by voltage collapse on the transmission system and/or cascading thermal overload/tripping of transmission facilities, is unacceptable under any NERC category P1 through P7 contingency conditions.
- 11.2. **Planned Loss of Load** – Comprises the following three components:
 - 11.2.1. **Consequential Loss of Load** – The loss of load because of the topology of the transmission system. In other words, the outage of a particular line or transformer results in the loss of load directly served by that facility. One example is the loss of a transmission line that has a mod substation tapped to it.
 - 11.2.2. **Automatic Loss of Load** – The result of a control scheme that is intended to shed load that would not otherwise be lost as the result of an outage of a transmission element. For purposes of this document, automatic loss of load refers only to those schemes that directly shed load as a result of a change in system topology (such as a transfer trip scheme) and not to those schemes that shed load as a result of a change in a measured system quantity (such as a UVLS scheme).
 - 11.2.3. **Manual Load Shedding** – Mitigating action taken by operational personnel where load is shed in accordance with criteria of contingency analyses that allow it.
- 11.3. With the exception of the JCP&L 34.5 kV system, the FE system will be planned and constructed such that, for any NERC Category P1 through P7 event, the associated loss of load will be limited to <300 MW.
- 11.4. Due to specific requirements of the New Jersey BPU, the JCP&L 34.5 kV system will be planned and constructed such that for any single contingency (N-1) event, the associated loss of load will be limited to <12,500 customers and <50 MW.

12. Breaker Interrupting Capability

- 12.1. The fault duty that must be interrupted by any breaker will not exceed 100% of the circuit breaker capability. The determination of the breaker's capability for any specific fault will be done in full accordance with the C37.010-1999 (R2005) standard for symmetrical current rated breakers and with the C37.5-1979 standard for total current rated breakers. Refer to **TPP-REF-015, FE CAPE Procedures** for more details.
- 12.2. The following assumptions/conditions shall be included in the breaker evaluation:
 - 12.2.1. Fault duty will be determined with all generation in service.
 - 12.2.2. The pre-fault voltage shall be one per unit, unless sufficient reason exists to use a higher value – for example, proximity to a generator, or when using a voltage from a power flow calculated voltage profile.
 - 12.2.3. Breakers shall be evaluated with the system in its normally operated state (i.e., normally-open switches open and normally-closed switches closed). Additional cases shall be run closing normally open points that may be closed during the course of normal operations.
 - 12.2.4. The number of transformations away from generation shall be two, unless sufficient reason exists to use one (e.g., a breaker connecting directly to a generator).
 - 12.2.5. Breakers using oil or air magnetic interruption shall be derated for automatic reclosing. Gas, vacuum and air blast shall not. Using the actual reclosing sequence is preferred. However, instantaneous reclosing may also be assumed.
 - 12.2.6. No additional derate factor shall be used.
 - 12.2.7. Subtransient reactances shall be used for all synchronous generators.
 - 12.2.8. For non-synchronous machines, equivalent impedances shall be obtained from the generator owner.
 - 12.2.9. Close and latch ratings shall be evaluated, and assumed to be 2.6 times rated interrupting capacity, per the appropriate standard.
 - 12.2.10. Both three-phase and single-line-to-ground faults shall be evaluated.
 - 12.2.11. Breakers in shared-breaker bus configurations, as well as bus-radial breakers to capacitors, reactors or distribution transformers, shall be evaluated based on total bus fault current.

13. Airbreak Switch Capability

13.1. Loop Interrupting Requirements

13.1.1. A switch’s loop interrupting capability is a rating given to a switch for its opening capability in a closed loop (networked) system and is dependent on its available Reach-of-Arc (ROA), the system loop impedance at that point, and the operating voltage.

- The ROA is the distance from the switch’s live parts to the nearest electrically conducting object during the switch opening process.
- *Table 3: Standard Design Reach of Arc* specifies the standard design ROA for switches operated at different voltages.
- Airbreak switches used for loop splitting at voltages >200 kV requires the use of SF6 interrupters; no ROA requirements are provided for switches at these voltage levels.

Table 3: Standard Design Reach of Arc

Line Voltage (kV)	ROA (ft)
230	N/A – SF6 Interrupter required
115/138	9.0
69	5.0
46	5.0
≤34.5	4.5

13.1.2. Each switch is evaluated to ensure that it is capable of interrupting the current that would normally flow through it during loop interrupting operations and this information is provided to system operators.

- If necessary, a supplemental interrupting device such as vacuum bottles can be added to a switch.
- In no case shall a switch be operated if the measured flow through the switch exceeds its evaluated interrupting capability.

13.2. Line Dropping Requirements

- 13.2.1. The capacitive line charging current that a switch may safely interrupt shall be limited to a maximum of 4 amps.
- 13.2.2. Beyond this limit, a supplemental quick-break interrupting device is required.
- 13.2.3. *Table 4: Typical Line Dropping Limits* shows the typical maximum length of line that can be dropped using switches without a supplemental quick-break device. Actual charging current for a specific line ought to be calculated, using its line charging susceptance.
 - Switches with vacuum bottles should not be used to drop line charging current unless the vacuum bottles are protected by metal oxide varistor (MOV) or other voltage limiter to limit voltage across the bottle contacts to below its design specifications.
 - Airbreak switches used for line dropping at voltages >200 kV requires the use of SF6 interrupters.

Table 4: Typical Line Dropping Limits

Line Voltage (kV)	Line Length (mi.)
115/138	9.0
69	15.0
46	30.0
34.5	38.0
25	55.0
23	60.0

14. Facility Connection Requirements

- 14.1. Detailed facility connection requirements are discussed in ***TPP-REF-004 Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities***.
- 14.2. This document includes requirements regarding system protection, acceptable connection configurations, reactive power requirements, and power quality requirements such as voltage flicker and harmonics.

Appendix A – Summary of Planning Criteria

Facility	Contingency Type	Loading Limits	Load Shed Allowed	Restore to Rating Following Event
PJM BES Facilities	Category P1	STE	No	Normal
	Category P3 & P6	STE	Auto Only *	Not Required
	Other Category P2, P4, P5 & P7	STE	Auto Only *	Not Required
	Maintenance Conditions	STE	No	Normal
All Other Facilities	Category P1	STE	No	Not Required
	Category P3 & P6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Other Category P2, P4, P5 & P7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Maintenance Conditions	N/A	N/A	N/A

* In situations where the outage results in a pocket of load being served radially by a single ≥100 kV facility, manual load shedding, up to the limits detailed in *Section 11, Loss of Load Criteria*, is permitted to maintain facilities within thermal and voltage limits.

Related Documents

Title
TPP-REF-001 FE Facility Ratings Methodology
TPP-REF-004 Facility Connection Requirements
TPP-REF-005 Transmission System Protection Practices
TPP-REF-015 FE CAPE Procedures

Revision History

Rev.	Effective Date	Preparer	Comments
10	12/19/2023	T. Schafer	<p>Triennial Review SMEs: J. Loesch</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose: Added definition of transmission for the purpose of this reference guide. • 3.2 – Changed Transformer Loadability Guide and Rating Methodology to FE Facility Rating Methodology. • Added 4.1.2 – 4.1.4. • Table 2: Voltage Limits – Removed reference to PJM. • 4.2 – Updated throughout that voltage change as of a result of also a reactor. • Added Section 5.1: Pre-contingency. • 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 – Clarified evaluations are post-contingency. • 5.2.3, 5.3.2, 5.4.2 – Changed “SVC” to “SVC or STATCOM.” • 6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.2 – Removed bullet stating “Single Contingency analysis under this section shall be performed with the single most significant generator modeled out of service as a preexisting system condition.” • Added 6.2.2. – Contingency Subsequent to Generator Outage (NERC Category P3). • 6.2.3 – Changed to “Contingency Subsequent to Non-Generator Outage and removed NERC Category P3. • 6.2.3 – First Bullet: Changed from “After occurrence of the first outage to “initial outage of any single transmission line, transformer, capacitor, or single pole of a bi-polar DC line (NERC Category P1-2, P1-3, P1-4 or P1-5).” • 6.2.3 – Third Bullet: Changed $\geq 100\text{KV}$ to BES and added “or isolated from the networked BES following the second outage.” • 6.2.4 – Clarified NERC categories to include P2-2, P2-3, and P2-4. • Added 6.2.5 • 6.5.2 – Changed “special protection system” to “Remedial Action Scheme (RAS)” in bullet 4 and 5. • 6.5.3 – First bullet: Clarified “consequences” to “severity of consequences.” • 6.5.4 and 7.3.2 – Changed “UVLS” to “UVLS or RAS” in first bullet. • 10.1 – Removed “and for any scenario resulting in a transmission <100 kV line or transformer loading beyond 125%” as part of Cascade analysis. • 10.1.5 – Changed dynamic analysis to dynamic simulation. • Added 10.4 regarding NERC Category P1 through P7. • Added 11.2.3 Manual Load Shedding. • 12.2.2 – Changed “load flow” to “power flow.” • 12.2.4 – Added example for situations where more than two transformers are used away from generation. • 12.2.9 – Clarified the times rated is “interrupting capacity.” • 12.2.11 – Rewritten, removing reference to ring bus breakers. Added “Breakers in shared-breaker bus configurations” and “bus-radial breakers.” • 13.2.3 – Added statement regarding actual charging current. • 13.2.3 – Rewrote second bullet regarding Airbreak switches used for line dropping voltages >200 kV. • Grammatical edits throughout. • Updated to current C&P format.

Rev.	Effective Date	Preparer	Comments
9	11/5/2019	J. C. Fraley J. Giordano L. Hozempa G. Marchewka	Date Revision Started: 9/16/2019 Minor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Created Purpose, Key Terms and Definitions, and Introduction sections from existing content (including content moved from previous Appendix). Updated formatting and editing per Transmission Operations style.
8	12/01/2016	JP Snyder	Minor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated document signature page to match current organization. Updated contingency types for new NERC TPL-001-4 standards
7	10/01/2014	JP Snyder	Minor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated document signature page to match current organization. Section 4.1 - Removed Table 2 – Nuclear Power Plant Transmission Bus Voltage Limits Section 6.2.3.2 – Updated to include language around specific state agency criteria. Section 7 – Updated to include additional transmission elements in addition to generators and lines.
6	06/01/2011	JT Martinez, DP Morrison	Minor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 2 – Updated voltage transmission voltage level to be 100 kV removed. Removed MISO reference. Section 3.1 – Added reference to former Allegheny Companies and a time frame for rating transition. Section 4.1 – Updated Table 1 to include 25 kV. Removed footnote. Section 4.1 – Updated Table 2 to include Beaver Valley 138 kV limits. Section 5 – Removed reference from PJM/MISO to FE Footprint Sections 6.2.1.1 & 6.2.1.2, - Removed MISO Reference. Changed Heading for 6.2.1.2. Sections 6.2.2.2, 6.2.3.2, 6.3.2, - Removed MISO Criteria. Section 10 – Changed RTO to PJM Sections 13.1 & 13.2 – Updated tables to include 25 kV & 46 kV. Appendix B – added footnote to the table
5	12/06/2010	JC Stephens, JT Martinez	Minor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 3.3 – Changed the rating used for Rate 2 of Lines in the “All Other Facilities” column to STE for consistency with other line ratings. Also changed table for all rate 1 to read Normal instead of Continuous. Section 4.1 – Revised 500 kV Emergency Minimum voltage limit from 0.975 to 0.97. Section 6.2.1.1 – Removed loss of a circuit breaker as one of the testing requirements for Cat B. Cat B criteria applies only to breaker to breaker contingencies. Clarified that for the loss of one end of a networked line, the remaining radial portion of the system meet the non-BES voltage criteria. Section, 6.2.1.2, 6.3.1, and 6.3.2 – Removed loss of a circuit breaker as one of the testing requirements for Cat B. Cat B criteria applies only to breaker to breaker contingencies. Section 6.4 – Clarified that 6.4 applies only in for single end condition caused by a breaker outage or during line restoration. Also clarified that it applies to all voltage levels. Section 8.2.1 – Revised Cat C3 dynamics criteria to be the same as the C1259 criteria. Section 10 – Changed “RTO” to “MISO” in the last line of the section to clarify that it is not necessary to provide potential IROL results to PJM. Section 11 – Added BES system and BES facilities to for clarification. Section 13.1 – Added 230 kV and 46 kV switches to Table 3. Also removed 72kV, 36 kV and 22kV voltages classes form table leaving 69 kV, 34.5 kV & 23 kV in Table 3. Section 13.2 - Removed 72kV, 36 kV and 22kV voltages classes from table leaving 69 kV, 34.5 kV & 23 kV in Table 4. Appendix A – Revised and simplified definitions Appendix B – Revised table to align with change in 3.3 All other facilities Cat B to be STE from LTE Cover Page - Effective date does not reflect actual effective date. Effective date of February 1, 2011 based on signatures applies.

Rev.	Effective Date	Preparer	Comments
4	04/22/2010	JC Stephens	<p>Minor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Table 2 - Revised Perry emergency minimum voltage per NPOA which will go into effect 4-1-2010. Also, corrected spelling error in the table. Section 4.1 - Clarified that EDPP would notify the nuclear plants for Cat A, B, and C contingency criteria violations. Section 6.2.3.1 - Duplicated the second bullet from Section 6.2.2.1 into this section. This bullet was inadvertently left out of at the time of the last major revision. Section 6.3.1 - Revised title to include "in PJM".
3	02/19/2010	JC Stephens, CD Peretti	<p>Major: Periodic review and updates to sections listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ratings Used in Power Flow Models - New section which makes the ratings used for criteria testing consistent across FE. Steady State Voltage Level Limits - Added statement that we'll contact Nuke plants if we see violations to show compliance with NUC-001. Also revised the TMI low voltage limits. Voltage Change as a Result of Capacitor Switching - Added requirement to make sure, that with the strongest line out at a station, switching a capacitor doesn't to ensure that the steady state voltage change caused by switching the capacitor does not exceed the bandwidth of the capacitor automatic voltage control. Fast Switched Capacitor Voltage Criteria - Added option to allow use fast switched capacitors in certain conditions. Solution Parameters Used in Planning Studies - Specifically spelled out the solution parameters used in the analysis. Primarily to assure compliance with PJM methods. Thermal and Voltage Assessment Requirements - Cleaned up the distinction between "PJM Criteria" facilities and all other facilities. Used to distinguish between facilities on the PJM monitored list and those not on that list. Now the distinction is between PJM BES, MISO BES, and (for Cat >B) Non-BES facilities. Single Contingency (NERC Category B) - Modified the requirement all facilities except the PJM BES facilities such that, if the faulted element can be cleared up and the line restored within 5 minutes, the criteria would be against the STE rating instead of the presently used LTE rating. Subsequent Contingency (NERC Category C3) - Definitely stated that for the PJM BES facilities subject to a Cat C3 contingency that if after the second event, a pocket of load is being served by a single BES line, that load shedding up to 300MW is allowed to get below STE Multiple Element Outage (NERC Category C) - Definitely stated that for the PJM BES facilities subject to a Cat C contingency that if after the second event, a pocket of load is being served by a single BES line, that load shedding up to 300MW is allowed to get below STE. Subsequent Contingency (NERC Category C3), Multiple Element Outage (NERC Category C), and Maintenance Condition Contingency Analysis - Specifically stated that Cat C1,2,5, C3, and maintenance condition outages do not apply for facilities less than BES. Maximum Credible Disturbance (MCD)/ Extreme Contingency Testing - Explicitly stated that Max Credible Contingency (Cat D) criteria applies only to 200kV and above. Revised cascade test to reflect first tripping at 125% and subsequent tripping at 100%. Also, limited the number of successive trips to 3 before declaring a potential collapse. Expanded the Breaker Interrupting Capability section to provide details of the assumptions and conditions used to determine breaker interrupting capability.
2	02/12/2008	JC Stephens	Major: Periodic review and update.
1	01/21/2007	JC Stephens	Minor: Revised Section 9 so that cascade analysis methods are consistent with MISO methodology and to provide guidance in determining IROLs.

Approvals

This document was reviewed and approved electronically. Records are available upon request through the Transmission Operations Support Compliance & Procedures group.

Name	Title	Date
Sally A. Thomas	Director, Transmission Planning & Protection	10/26/2023
Lawrence A. Hozempa	General Manager, Planning	08/11/2023
Eric D. Schock	General Manager, Protection	08/23/2023