

Non-Performance Assessment Example of Imbalanced Charge and Bonus Payment Rates

Underperformance Risk Management Senior Task Force May 4, 2016

www.pjm.com PJM©2016



Review of Non-Performance Charge and Bonus Payment Rate Calculations

- CP Non-Performance Charge Rate based on Net CONE (ICAP)
 of modeled LDA in which the under-performing resource resides
 - Charge Rate (\$/MWh) = Net CONE * 365 days / 30 hours
- Bonus Payment Rate based on the total Non-Performance Charges collected and the total Bonus Performance MW
 - Bonus Payment Rate ($\frac{MWh}{=}$ = $\frac{\text{Total Charges collected}}{\text{Total Bonus Performance MW}}$

www.pjm.com PJM©2016



Potential Causes of Imbalanced Charge and Bonus Payment Rates

- The area defined by the Emergency Action of a PAH spans multiple modeled LDAs with under-performing resources subject to different penalty rates
- Shortfall MW that are excused from the Non-Performance Assessment (e.g. resource on an approved planned outage)



Non-Performance Assessment Example

Balancing Ratio = 0.9

Bonus Payment Rate = Total Charges / Bonus MW = \$3,296.51 = \$1,417,501 / 430 MW

				Resource	source Performance Assessment for a single PAH				
	Capacity					Performance			
Company/	Commitment	Modeled	Expected	Actual		(Exp - Act)-	Charge		
Generator	(UCAP MW)	LDA	Performance	Performance	Excused	Excused *	Rate	Charge	Credit
A-1	300	EMAAC	270	325	0	-55		\$0	\$181,308
A-2	250	PSEG	225	0	5	220	\$3,395	\$746,977	\$0
A-3	0	EMAAC	0	150	0	-150		\$0	\$494,477
B-4	150	PSEG	135	100	0	35	\$3,395	\$118,837	\$0
B-5	150	EMAAC	135	100	0	35	\$3,245	\$113,583	\$0
B-6	150	EMAAC	135	0	0	135	\$3,245	\$438,104	\$0
C-7	0	EMAAC	0	100	0	-100		\$0	\$329,651
D-8	0	EMAAC	0	125	0	-125		\$0	\$412,064
	1,000		-	900				\$1,417,501	\$1,417,501

www.pjm.com 4 PJM©2016