

PJM Market Seller Offer Caps Segmented Offer Cap Discussion

February 4, 2022



Brookfield
Renewable

PJM Market Seller Offer Cap Discussion

Segmented Offer Caps -

- Brookfield proposes that PJM utilize “segmented offer caps” for renewable energy resources (particularly for Hydro but also for wind and solar)
- A “segmented offer cap” methodology divides the resource into segments and calculates a MSOC for each ELCC/UCap MW segment
- Rationale:
 - Renewable energy resources have a unique CPQR / risk profile that is different than other resources.
 - The upper ELCC/UCap MW segments have significantly greater performance penalty risk than the lower ELCC/UCap MW segments
 - The upper ELCC/UCap MW segments have less certainty due to the intermittency of the renewable fuel (Hydro also has site-specific permit/license requirements)
 - Renewable energy resources have a unique distribution of E&AS revenues that is not distributed evenly across the ELCC/UCap MW segments; the segment capacity factor is driven by renewable fuel input (this is exacerbated for Hydro with exigent storage)
 - The lower ELCC/UCap MW segments realize a larger proportion of revenues compared to the upper ELCC/UCap MW segments

PJM Market Seller Offer Cap Discussion

Segmented Offer Caps (continued) -

- Brookfield proposes that “segmented offer caps” be applied to default MSOC calculations for renewable energy resources
- However, due to time constraints with the current MSOC Work Plan, Brookfield proposes that “segmented offer caps” be utilized in the Unit Specific Review process, particularly for Hydro
 - Hydro is already forced to go through the Unit Specific Review process because it currently does not have a default MSOC because of unique site specific and FERC license specific complexity

Other MSOC considerations -

- Renewable energy resources should continue to NOT have a “Must Offer” obligation in the capacity market due to the uncontrollable risk with intermittent renewable fuel and lower ELCC capacity quantities, particularly with increasing renewable penetration
- Capacity Market Sellers should have the option to hold the CPQR risk in their portfolio, i.e., self insure
 - Capacity Market Sellers should not be required to purchase third party insurance in order to determine the “Cost to Mitigate”
 - Third party insurance does not necessarily cover all CPQR; resulting in self insuring risk to some level anyway
 - CPQR should be based on the seller’s view of potential risk from taking on the capacity position

PJM Market Seller Offer Cap Discussion

Brookfield
Renewable U.S.

Brookfield Contact

Stephen Kelly

Stephen.Kelly@brookfieldrenewable.com

301.706.7912