

Executive Summary Comparison Table

	Package A (PJM)	Package B (IMM)	Package C (Calpine)	Package D (PJM)
Compensation	IMM Option and Cost of Service Recovery Rate filing option	<p>Payment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Actual costs paid as incurred b. Plus a percent adder <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Propose 10 percent; subject to change c. No sunk costs d. Net revenues offset costs <p>Review process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. IMM reviews project investments; invoices b. PJM reviews project investments; invoices <p>Nonperformance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Reduces incentive payments b. Based on algorithm for relative significance c. Evaluated at year end <p>Return to service as market unit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Pay back all project investment b. Pay back all major maintenance 	Same as PJM Package D	<p>Status Quo (maintain the Cost of Service Recovery Rate filing option and the existing Deactivation Avoidable Cost Credit formula) with the following changes to the Deactivation Avoidable Cost Credit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Removal of the \$2 million cap on Project Investment b. Limit the yearly adder that is applied to Project Investment to 10%. c. Removal of the clause that triggers the credit to be paid using the Daily Deficiency Rate rather than DACR if DACR + Applicable Multiplier is greater than the Daily Deficiency Rate.
Notification	Units that participate in RPM must provide at least 12 months' notice prior to desired deactivation date	12 months prior to auction	Same as PJM Package A	Same as Package A

	Unit that don't participate in RPM due to deactivation must provide notice consistent with existing RPM must offer exception process			
	Existing quarterly deactivation implementation process will continue			
Transparency	Improvements on top of Status Quo to make additional details including deactivation response letters and RMR arrangement notifications available to stakeholders		Same as PJM Package A	Same as Package A
Modeling Impacts	No changes to Planning and Markets modeling of RMR units	RMR in capacity market a. Include in CETO/CETL b. Include in supply curve at zero cost i. Not an offer from owner	RMR units shall not participate in capacity market. No must offer requirement. Not included in CETO/CETL for RPM purposes. No PAI bonuses or PAI penalties	Same as Package A
		RMR in energy and ancillary services markets a. No must offer b. Committed and dispatched by PJM as needed for reliability	Participation in energy market and reserve markets only allowed for reliability purposes limited to: 1. Needed for reactive/frequency/voltage support	

			<p>2. Needed for a thermal constraint that cannot be relieved by other generating units through redispatch</p> <p>3. Need in the case of an emergency alert, warning, and actions for</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. "Capacity shortage emergency" encompassing the TO zone where the RMR unit is locatedb. "Transmission Security Emergencies" encompassing the TO zone where the RMR unit is locatedc. "Sabotage/Terrorism Emergencies" encompassing the TO zone where the RMR unit is located <p>4. Does not include market participation for "General Assistance to Adjacent Control Areas"</p>	
--	--	--	---	--