

PJM Compliance Bulletin

CB020 NERC Standards CIP-002 and CIP-014

General

CIP-014-2 requires entities to identify and protect those Transmission stations and Transmission substations, and their associated primary Control Centers, which if rendered inoperable or damaged as a result of a physical attack could result in instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading within an Interconnection. In similar fashion, PJM performs analysis in support of compliance with CIP-002-5.1a, which requires Responsible Entities to identify and categorize BES Cyber Systems and their associated BES Cyber Assets, taking into consideration Control Centers, Transmission stations and substations, as well as critical system restoration assets and Special Protection Systems.

As the Reliability Coordinator (RC), Planning Coordinator (PC), and Transmission Planner (TP), PJM will fulfill several roles as it relates to CIP-014-2 including providing input into the assessment to identify Transmission facilities by way of analysis to support Applicability 4.1.1.3. PJM may also serve as the third-party reviewer as required in Requirement R2. This Compliance Bulletin describes the process PJM implemented to identify assets that will serve as input to the analysis required in Requirement R1 in addition to discussing the process for coordinating and communicating to the Transmission Owners (TOs) the results of this analysis and for assisting as the third-party reviewer (R2). Additionally, this Compliance Bulletin provides guidance on the process PJM has implemented to identify assets in addition to discussing the process for coordinating and communicating to the Transmission Owners (TO's) and Generation Owners (GO's) the results of this analyses, to support compliance with CIP-002-5.1a.

Background

CIP-002-5.1a Criterion 2.6

Attachment 1 Criterion 2.6 states: “Generation at a single plant location or Transmission Facilities at a single station or substation location that are identified by its Reliability Coordinator, Planning Coordinator, or Transmission Planner as critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs) and their associated contingencies.”

PJM is the Reliability Coordinator, Planning Coordinator, and Transmission Planner for its footprint. This criterion establishes that PJM may identify facilities/locations that should be considered medium impact facilities/locations by the entities that own these facilities. To that end, PJM Operations and Planning conducted an analysis to identify contingencies critical to the derivation of the PJM IROLs (PJM IROLs are published in Manual 03 Section 3.8) that is, that are providing data critical to the derivation of the IROL. That analysis yielded a sub-set of contingencies which were decomposed to Transmission and Generation facility components. Per

the standard, PJM expects that these facilities along with the IROL facilities themselves comprise the list of medium impact facilities:

IROL Facilities + Transmission, Generation Contingency Facilities = Criterion 2.6 List

Next Steps for TO's or GO's: 2.6 Facilities should be considered Medium Impact Facilities

CIP-014-2 Applicability Section 4.1.1.3

Applicability 4.1.1.3 states: “Transmission Facilities at a single station or substation location that are identified by its Reliability Coordinator, Planning Coordinator, or Transmission Planner as critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs) and their associated contingencies.”

PJM is the Reliability Coordinator, Planning Coordinator, and Transmission Planner for its footprint. The requirement in the applicability of CIP-014-2 establishes that PJM may identify Transmission Facilities that the owning entity should use as input to the assessment required by CIP-014-2 Requirement R1. To that end, PJM Operations and Planning conducted a study to identify contingencies critical to the derivation of the PJM IROLs (PJM IROLs are published in Manual 03 Section 3.8). That study yielded a sub-set of contingencies that were decomposed into their component Transmission facilities. PJM expects that these facilities along with the IROL facilities themselves will comprise the list of Transmission Facilities that the owning entity shall use to perform an initial risk assessment and subsequent risk assessments in accordance with Requirement R1 of CIP-014-2:

IROL Facilities + Transmission Contingency Facilities = CIP-014-2 Applicability 4.1.1.3 List

Next Steps for TOs: Apply analysis in R1 to the 4.1.1.3 List

The analysis that PJM's Operations and Planning groups conducted will be replicated at least every 15 calendar months to support CIP-014-2.

PJM IROLs and Associated Contingencies

PJM establishes a list of reactive and voltage transfer limit facilities that define the PJM transfer interfaces. PJM publishes these lists in PJM Manual 03, Section 3.8. These transfer limits are listed by Transfer Interface, and consist of an Interface Definition that lists a number of 138, 345, 500, and/or 765 kV lines. The interface definitions list the substations at each endpoint of the Transmission Facilities as well as the voltage level.

In order to determine which facilities, in addition to the IROL facilities themselves, are considered “critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs)

and their associated contingencies”, PJM conducted an analysis using historical Day-ahead Transfer Limit Calculator and posted real-time congestion results. PJM collected historical day-ahead limiting contingencies associated with IROLs and applied a method that included comparison to real-time operations. The results yielded a sub-set of contingencies that were decomposed into their component Transmission facilities. PJM considers these Transmission Facilities (at a single station or substation) critical to the derivation of the IROL and should be subject to additional analysis by the owning entity as required in CIP-014-2 Requirement R1. The equipment meeting the PJM designation under the Applicability Section 4.1.1.3 in CIP-014-2 includes the Transmission Facilities, and terminal equipment at the associated substation locations.

Discussion

CIP-002-5.1a

To assist the Transmission Owners and Generation Owners in identification of their list of assets in accordance with CIP-002-5.1a Criterion 2.6, a Transmission Owner and Generator Owner should include:

1. The substations that make up the end points for each line listed in the Interface Definitions in Manual 03, Section 3.8
2. The specific Transmission Facilities that have been determined as “critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs) and their associated contingencies” by PJM Operations Support and Planning by way of the analysis described above to support CIP-002-5.1a Attachment 1- Criterion 2.6.

CIP-014-2

To assist the Transmission Owners in identification of their list of assets that will serve as an input to Requirement R1, a Transmission Owner should include:

1. The substations that make up the end points for each line listed in the Interface Definitions in Manual 03, Section 3.8.
2. The specific Transmission Facilities that have been determined as “critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs) and their associated contingencies” by PJM Operations Support and Planning by way of the analysis described above.

Coordination and Communication Process

CIP-002-5.1a Attachment 1- Criterion 2.6

PJM will coordinate with the NERC-registered Transmission Owners or Generator Owners should they own facilities identified under CIP-002-5.1a Attachment 1- Criterion 2.6 analysis described above. PJM will issue the NERC-registered Transmission Owner or Generator Owner

a formal letter containing the specific facilities identified by PJM CIP-002-5.1a Attachment 1-Criterion 2.6. This letter will be issued by the PJM Compliance Division and will be issued every 15 calendar months to support compliance with CIP-002-5.1. PJM will issue this letter to the point of contact identified by the Transmission Owner or Generator Owner. Applicable entities should ensure that they have identified their primary point of contact for this purpose via email addressed to:

regional_compliance@pjm.com.

CIP-014-2 Applicability 4.1.1.3 – Input to Requirement R1

PJM will coordinate with its member Transmission Owners should they own facilities identified under CIP-014-2 Applicability Section 4.1.1.3 analysis described above. PJM will issue the member Transmission Owner a formal letter containing the specific facilities identified by PJM under 4.1.1.3. This letter will be issued by the Compliance Division and will be issued every 15 calendar months to support compliance with CIP-014-2. PJM will issue this letter to the point of contact identified by the Transmission Owner. Transmission owners should ensure that they have identified their primary point of contact for this purpose via email addressed to:

regional_compliance@pjm.com.

CIP-014-2 Requirement R2 – Third Party Validation

PJM will also support requests to perform the third party validation as required by CIP-014-2 Requirement R2. To request a third party review please contact

NERC.Planning.Coordinator@PJM.com

Conclusion

PJM issued this Compliance Bulletin to coordinate and communicate the processes in place to support compliance with Standards CIP-002-5.1a and CIP-014-2. Should member companies have questions regarding this bulletin or the specifics of the analysis, please contact:

NERC.Planning.Coordinator@PJM.com

Appendix 1: PJM Process for CIP-014-2 Compliance

Introduction

The purpose of CIP-014-2 is to identify and protect Transmission stations and Transmission substations, and their associated primary Control Centers, which if rendered inoperable or damaged as a result of a physical attack could result in instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading within an Interconnection. Transmission Owners must first evaluate the criteria listed in the Applicability section for Functional Entities to determine if this Standard is applicable.

Applicability:

- Facilities operating at 500 kV or higher
- Transmission Facilities that are operating between 200 kV and 499 kV at a single station or substation, where the station or substation is connected at 200 kV or higher voltages to three or more other Transmission stations or substations and has an "aggregate weighted value" exceeding 3000 according to the table below.

Voltage Value of a Line	Weight Value per Line
less than 200 kV (not applicable)	(not applicable)
200 kV to 299 kV	700
300 kV to 499 kV	1300
500 kV and above	0

- Facility determined, through PJM, to be critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs).
- Facilities essential to meeting Nuclear Plant Interface Requirements.

PJM Risk Assessment Process and Implementation

To comply with Requirement R1, Transmission Owners must perform a transmission analysis to identify the Transmission station(s) and Transmission substation(s) that if rendered inoperable or damaged could result in instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading within an Interconnection. As the unaffiliated third party (Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, or Reliability Coordinator), PJM is responsible for verifying the Transmission Owner's risk assessment in accordance with Requirement R2.

The CIP-014-2 risk assessment process was implemented on 10/1/2015. Subsequent risk assessments shall be performed:

- At least once every 30 calendar months for a Transmission Owner that has identified in its previous risk assessment (as verified according to Requirement R2) one or more Transmission stations or Transmission substations that if rendered inoperable or damaged

could result in widespread instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading within an Interconnection; or

- At least once every 60 calendar months for a Transmission Owner that has not identified in its previous risk assessment (as verified according to Requirement R2) any Transmission stations or Transmission substations that if rendered inoperable or damaged could result in widespread instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading within an Interconnection.

PJM will cycle the risk assessment process every 30 months, to ensure compliance with the timing requirements in CIP-014-2 Requirement R1. Transmission Owners that own facilities identified in CIP-014-2 Requirement R1 in the 2015 analysis will be required to perform a subsequent risk analysis again starting April 1, 2018. Transmission Owners with no facilities identified in the 2015 analysis will be required to perform subsequent risk analysis again starting October 1, 2020.

Upon completion of the Transmission Owner's CIP-014-2 R1 analysis, the first step in PJM's process is to complete the PJM CIP-014-2 R1 Verification form including the list of all Transmission Owner's identified substations under the R1 analysis. This form is to be submitted by October, 1 of the required study year, based on the result of the previous assessment. Working with PJM Transmission Planning, the NERC and Regional Coordination department will track to closure all compliance related obligations.

CIP-014-2 R1 PJM Verification Form

PJM will provide the CIP-014-2 R1 PJM Verification form along with the case to be used for the analysis prior to 10/1 of the study year. This form is to be completed by each TO and submitted along with all other required materials no later than 10/1 of the study year.

The form includes:

- Administrative details such as Name of Entity and NERC ID
- A Table for facilities identified as R1 facilities, including the technical basis by which the facility was identified

Notes:

In addition to listing all CIP-014-2 R1 facilities in the spreadsheet, please provide PJM with the following information:

- 1) All input files needed to replicate analysis (Case in PSS/E V33 format or RAW, Contingencies, Monitor File, Sub file)
- 2) Analytical Methodology used (if different than TODO PSWG) and/or any additional assumptions used in analysis including SPS or Operational controls
- 3) Detailed Analytical Output of analysis



- 4) Technical Basis options: 1) Loss of load of 1000 MW; 2) Three level of facility trips 3) Case fails to converge

Please Utilize PJM's secure transfer tool (Axway) for all submissions of secure data to PJM:

<https://sftp.pjm.com/>

To Request a case from PJM, please fill out the CEII Request form using the link below and include "CIP-014" and the details of what case you are requesting in the "Description of Information Requested" section of the form:

<http://www.pjm.com/documents/ferc-manuals/ceii/form-ceii-request.aspx>

PJM then has 90 calendar days to complete its review of the analysis performed in identifying the CIP-014-2 R1 facilities, and will notify the Transmission Owner of the findings of this verification.

If the Transmission Owner does not agree with the findings of PJM's verification, they have 60 days from the time PJM delivers the completed review form, to work with PJM in resolving any differences and finalize the R1 facilities list. This is the final step in the process and will result in completed PJM CIP-014-2 R1 verification form.

For questions/comments please email:

NERC.Planning.Coordinator@pjm.com

PJM TODO PSWG Common Risk Assessment Methodology for CIP-014-2

Steady-state and Dynamic (at the discretion of each TO to address simulation issues such as non-convergent steady state simulations) power flow simulation shall be utilized to perform the analysis. PJM will recommend and provide a Base Case to be used in this analysis by all Transmission Owners wishing to have PJM perform verification of their analysis. This base case will be either a *three-year-out RPM base case* OR *five-year-out RTEP baseline base case*. System conditions modeled in the simulation shall represent a 50/50 summer peak load. Triggering Events Modeled in Simulations shall include outaged station analysis, defined by single fenced enclosure or adjacent enclosure, regardless of number of voltages and yards included in that single fenced enclosure or adjacent enclosure.

Simulated Thermal Tripping of Facilities shall identify facilities loaded over 125% of their summer emergency rating or 115% of summer load dump rating as appropriate. Simulated Voltage Tripping of Facilities shall identify generators with a voltage magnitude below 95% and load with a voltage magnitude below 85%. Only generators and load shall be tripped. Additionally the voltage drop threshold is not required.

If simulation Results Indicating Instability, Uncontrolled Separation, or Cascading resulting in one or more of the following results, then the facility shall be identified as a CIP-014-2 R1 facility.

- Loss of load of 1000 MW
 - Includes consequential load loss and tripped load
 - Total loss of load should be considered
- Three levels of facility trips
 - Includes lines, transformers, and generators
 - Tripped elements should include 69kV and above facilities
- Case fails to converge after tripping of facilities
 - Confirm that convergence is not due to modeling issues or local pockets

NERC Reliability Standards

CIP-002-5.1a (<http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Reliability%20Standards/CIP-002-5.1a.pdf>)

CIP-014-2 (<http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Reliability%20Standards/CIP-014-2.pdf>)

Note: Working with PJM Transmission Planning, the NERC and Regional Coordination department will track to closure all compliance related obligations.

Document Retention

Entities shall retain all evidence of compliance in accordance with the document retention requirement as stated in the applicable NERC or Regional Reliability Standard. If there is no specific data retention requirement, Entities will retain the data for four years.

Development History

Revision: 2	Date 12/26/2017
Author:	Bradley Hofferkamp - CIP Compliance, Preston Walker, NERC and Regional Coordination, Michael Herman, Transmission Planning, Stanley Sliwa, Transmission Planning
Reviewers:	Mark L. Holman, Manager - NERC and Regional Coordination Department David Souder, Director - Operations Support and Planning Mark Sims, Manager - Transmission Planning Simon Tam, Manager - Transmission Planning
Approver:	Rob Eckenrod, Chief Compliance Officer
Reason for Change:	Revised title to include CIP-002. Updated NERC Standard hyperlinks. Revised dates in PJM Process for CIP-014-2 Compliance.

Revision: 1	Date 10/12/2017
Author:	Bradley Hofferkamp - CIP Compliance, Preston Walker, NERC and Regional Coordination, Michael Herman, Transmission Planning, Stanley Sliwa, Transmission Planning
Reviewers:	Mark L. Holman, Manager - NERC and Regional Coordination Department David Souder, Director - Operations Support and Planning Mark Sims, Manager - Transmission Planning Simon Tam, Manager - Transmission Planning

Approver:	Rob Eckenrod, Chief Compliance Officer
Reason for Change:	Consolidation of CB 020 (CIP 014 compliance), CB 018 (CIP 002 Compliance), and addition of information on the internal CIP 014 analysis.

Revision: 0	Date 8/1/2015
Author:	Stephanie Monzon, Manager - CIP Compliance
Reviewers:	Mark L. Holman, Manager - NERC and Regional Coordination Department David Souder, Director - Operations Support and Planning Mark Sims, Manager - Transmission Planning Simon Tam, Manager - Transmission Planning
Approver:	Thomas Bowe, Executive Director Reliability and Compliance
Reason for Change:	This is a new document.