



PMA Credit Survey Results

Credit Subcommittee

October 29, 2014

Harold Loomis

- Substantial support ($\geq 70\%$):
 - Q4 – Early payments allowed to reduce PMA credit requirement (86%)
 - Q5 – Early payments to reduce PMA limited to members with unsecured credit (70%)
- Split opinions ($> 30\%$ and $< 70\%$):
 - Q2 – Replace PMA with credit requirement equal to the sum of current exposure plus one week of projected market activity, using hub prices as surrogates for market prices (63%)
 - Q3 – Move the spring PMA reset from April to March (59%)
- Substantial rejections ($\leq 30\%$):
 - Q1 – Keep PMA but increase it 10% when forecasting three consecutive days of extreme temperatures (25%)

Number of early payments for PMA reduction that should be allowed in a rolling 12 month period. (The status quo is 10.)

	0		10		20	
Do not support	83	76%	15	14%	16	15%
Probably won't support	10	9%	24	22%	3	3%
Unsure / Neutral	1	1%	9	8%	10	9%
Likely to support	0	0%	20	18%	26	24%
Highly support	15	14%	41	38%	54	50%

Unsecured credit factor that should be used for a cap.
 (1X is the status quo; 2X would double that.)

	0X		1X		2X	
Do not support	71	71%	14	13%	20	19%
Probably won't support	1	1%	0	0%	3	3%
Unsure / Neutral	14	14%	33	30%	39	36%
Likely to support	0	0%	37	34%	14	13%
Highly support	14	14%	25	23%	32	30%