

PJM Regional Transmission Expansion Planning (RTEP) Process

Nebiat Tesfa, Transmission Planning IPSAC May 16, 2022

PJM Planning Links

Planning Committee (PC)

- <u>http://www.pjm.com/committees-and-groups/committees/pc.aspx</u>
- Transmission Expansion Advisory Committee (TEAC)
 - <u>http://www.pjm.com/committees-and-groups/committees/teac.aspx</u>
- Interregional Planning
 - <u>http://www.pjm.com/planning/interregional-planning.aspx</u>
- Services and Requests
 - <u>http://www.pjm.com/planning/services-requests.aspx</u>
- RTEP Development
 - <u>http://www.pjm.com/planning/rtep-development.aspx</u>
- Manual 14B
 - <u>http://www.pjm.com/-/media/documents/manuals/m14b.ashx</u>

System Expansion Drivers

pjm[®] Load Forecast, Demand Resources Transmission Service RTEP Development Operational Performance Peliability Criteria Aging Infrastructure

Resilience Capacity Resources, RPM Market Efficiency Interregional Coordination

Public Policy

Planning Cycles



www.pjm.com | Public

4



2022 RTEP Assumptions

2022 RTEP Assumptions

PJM annually presents the assumptions at the beginning of each year. See the link below for details of the presentation.

 <u>https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-</u> <u>groups/committees/teac/2022/20220111/20220111-item-05a-</u> <u>2022-rtep-assumptions-update.ashx</u>

Queue Project NOT Included in 2022 Series RTEP Cases



- Queue projects with an FSA or ISA but are not included in 2022 Series RTEP cases
 - Y3-092 (MTX)
 - 1000 MW Capacity Transmission Injection Rights
 - 500 MW Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights and 500 MW Non-Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights

2022 RTEP Assumptions

PJM/NYISO Interface

- B & C cables will be modeled out of service consistent with 2021 RTEP
- Linden VFT
 - Modeled at 330 MW
- HTP
 - Modeled at 0 MW
- Transource 9A project
 - Not included in model

24 Month RTEP

Jpjm[®]

- As part of the 24-month RTEP cycle, a year 8 (2030) base case will be developed and evaluated as needed as part of the 2022 RTEP
- The year 8 case will be based on the 2027 Summer case that will be developed as part of this year's 2022 RTEP
- Purpose: To identify and develop longer lead time transmission upgrades

FERC 1000 Process

apjm

- Similar to the 2021 RTEP and per the PJM Operating Agreement, a proposal window will be conducted for all reliability needs that are not Immediate Need reliability upgrades or are otherwise ineligible to go through the window process.
- FERC 1000 implementation will be similar to the 2021 RTEP.
 - Advance notice and posting of potential violations
 - Advance notice of window openings
 - Window administration

Expected Timeline



- Open competitive proposal window
- Post modeling assumptions changes and corrections for and begin mid-year retool of 2022 RTEP baseline analysis if required
 - Accounts for major new modeling assumption changes and corrections not previously considered.
 - Basic assumptions such as planning criteria and ratings methodology that changed after February will not be considered until the 2023 RTEP.
- July/August 2022
 - Close competitive proposal window
 - Finalize mid-year retool
- August to December 2022: Evaluate proposals
- October 2022 to February 2023: Approve proposals

Stakeholder Input and Information Items

Input Requested:

- Stakeholder suggestions for and input to 2022 alternative sensitivity studies and scenario analysis
- Information Items (Non-RTEP Scenarios Studied by PJM):
 - PJM participating in DOE Atlantic Offshore Wind Transmission study which may provide additional information for 2023 RTEP and beyond
 - PJM System Planning is working to outline a scope for looking at a low carbon future to discuss in RTEP scenario discussions later in 2022 or early 2023



Generation Deactivation Notification Update (Between 11/1/2021 and 4/1/2022)

Retirements





Unit(s)	Fuel Type	Transmission Zone	Requested Deactivation Date	PJM Reliability Status				
Pleasant Unit 1 & 2 (1278 MW)	Coal	APS	6/1/2023	Reliability analysis underway				
Sammis Unit 5, 6, 7, & Diesel (1504 MW)	Coal	ATSI	6/1/2023	Reliability analysis underway				
Chambers CCLP (240 MW)	Coal	ACE	5/31/2022	Reliability analysis complete. No violation identified				
Logan (219 MW)	Biomass	ACE	5/31/2022	Reliability analysis complete. No violation identified				



Unit(s)	Fuel Type	Transmission Zone	Requested Deactivation Date	PJM Reliability Status				
Essex 9 (81 MW)	Natural Gas	PSEG	6/1/2022	Reliability analysis complete. No violation identified				
Ottawa County Project (1.7 MW)	Methane	ATSI	5/31/2022	Reliability analysis complete. No violation identified				
Martins Creek CT 1 & 2 & 3 (35 MW)	Oil	PPL	5/31/2023	Reliability analysis complete. No violation identified				
Martins Creek CT 4 (17.3 MW)	Natural Gas	PPL	5/31/2023	Reliability analysis complete. No violation identified				

Unit Name	Fuel Type	Transmission Zone	Actual Deactivation Date	PJM Reliability Status					
Fishbach CT 1 & 2 (28 MW)	Oil	PPL	4/1/2022	Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified					
Jenkins CT 1 & 2 (27.6 MW)	Oil	PPL	4/1/2022	Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified					
Lock Haven CT 1 (14 MW)	Oil	PPL	4/1/2022	Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified					
West Shore CT 1 & 2 (28 MW)	Oil	PPL	4/1/2022	Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified					
Williamsport-Lycoming CT 1 & 2 (26.6 MW)	Oil	PPL	1/12/2021	Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified					
Avon Lake 9 &10 (648 MW)	Coal	FirstEnergy	3/31/2022	Reliability analysis complete and upgrades expected to be completed in time for unit to deactivate as scheduled.					

Withdrawn Transmission **Fuel Type** Unit(s) Deactivation **PJM Reliability Status** Zone Date Reliability analysis complete and upgrades PPL expected to be completed in time for unit to Cheswick 1 Coal 3/31/2022 (568 MW) deactivate as scheduled. Reliability analysis complete; no impacts **Orchard Hills LF** Methane ComEd 3/31/2022 identified (9.3 MW) **Glendon LF** Reliability analysis complete; no impacts (2.9 MW) ME 12/15/2021 identified Methane

Generation Deactivation link:

https://www.pjm.com/planning/services-requests/gen-deactivations



PJM Market Efficiency Update

Nick Dumitriu Principal Engineer, PJM Market Simulation





2020/21 Long-Term Window 1

2020/21 Long-Term Window 1 – Analysis Completed

Cluster No. 1 (APS) - French's Mill to Junction 138 kV

Analysis completed: Proposal 756, terminal equipment upgrades at the French's Mill and Junction 138 kV substations, with a projected in-service date of 4/1/22, selected as the preferred solution.

- Cluster No. 2 (PECO) Plymouth Meeting to Whitpain 230 kV
 - Analysis completed: Proposal 704, terminal equipment upgrades at the Plymouth Meeting and Whitpain 230 kV substations, with a projected in-service date of 6/1/25, selected as the preferred solution.
- Cluster No. 3 (PPL) Juniata to Cumberland 230 kV
 - Analysis completed: Proposal 218, reconductor the Juniata-Cumberland 230 kV line, with a projected in-service date of 12/1/23, selected as the preferred solution.
- Cluster No. 4 (DOM) Charlottesville to Proffit 230 kV
 - Analysis completed: Proposal 651, series reactor on the Charlottesville-Proffit 230 kV line, with a projected inservice date of 6/1/23, selected as the preferred solution.

2020/21 Long-Term Window 1 – Proposals Approved by PJM Board

pjm°

Proposal ID#	Proposal Baseline #	Project Description	Project Type	Transmission Owner	In-Service Date	Construction Cost (\$MM)	B/C Ratio Metric	B/C Ratio	Percent Congestion Alleviated
218	b3698	Juniata-Cumberland 230kV Line Reconductor	Upgrade	PPL	12/1/2023	\$9.00	Low voltage	11.28	100%
651	b3702	Charlottesville-Proffit 230kV Line Series Reactor	Upgrade	DOM	6/1/2023	\$11.38	Low voltage	16.05	99.52%
704	b3697	Plymouth Meeting-Whitpain 230kV Terminal Upgrades	Upgrade	PECO	6/1/2025	\$0.62	Low voltage	75.30	99.91%
756	b3701	French's Mill-Junction 138kV Terminal Upgrades	Upgrade	APS	4/1/2022	\$0.77	Low voltage	119.03	100%



2022/23 Market Efficiency Cycle

2022/23 Market Efficiency Timeline

	YEAR 0 (2022)						YEAR 1 (2023)							
	JAN FEB MAR	APR MAY JUN	JUL AUG	SEP OC	T NOV D	EC JAN FE	B MAR	APR MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG S	EP 0	CT NOV	DEC
12-month cycle	 Develop assumptions – Year 1 & 5 Market Efficiency Analysis – Year 1 & 5 ! Identify and evaluate solution options ! Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board 													
24-month cycle	Develop assumptions – Year 1, 5, 8, 11 & 15 Market Efficiency Criteria Analysis – Year 1, 5, 8 & 15 Market Efficiency Analysis – Year 1, 5, 8, 11 & 15 Identify proposed solutions – Market Efficiency Analysis – Year 1, 5, 8, 11 & 15 Mid-cycle update of significant assumptions – Year 0, 4, 7, 10 & 14 Analysis of market solutions and support of benefits of reliability solutions – Year 0, 4, 7, 10 & 14 Independent consultant reviews constructability – Adjustments to solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review solution options by PJM based on analysis – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solution options prove the PJM Board – Final review solutio													
12-month cycle	Indicates and modi	accelerations fications	Develop A	ssumption !	s – Year 1 8 Market Eff	5 ⊢ iciency Analy Identify a Fina	sis — Yea r nd evalua I review v	1 & 5 ⊢ te solution o with TEAC a	options nd app	roval k	• by the PJ	M Boa	• rd +	•

2022 Market Efficiency Assumptions

Hitachi Energy PROMOD Database – Spring 2022.

- Powerflow consistent with the 2027 RTEP powerflow.
- Load Forecast and Demand Response based on PJM 2022 Load Forecast Report.
- Generation Expansion consistent with the machine list included in the Planning RTEP Powerflow.
- Fuel and Emissions Price forecasts provided by Hitachi Energy.
- Financial parameters Discount Rate and Carrying Charge, based on the Transmission Cost Information Center spreadsheet.