

# Critical Issue Fast Path Process Updates in M34 Triennial Review

Alex Scheirer, Sr. Client Manager I

Stakeholder Process Forum DATE, 2022

www.pjm.com | Public PJM©2022



- The Critical Issue Fast Path (CIFP) process is outlined in M34 Section 8.6.4.
- CIFP process used for the first time in 2021 for the Minimum Offer Price Rule (MOPR) issue
- Lessons learned sessions at the Stakeholder Process Forum
- Updating the CIFP process during M34 Triennial Review to add clarity



## CIFP Triggers for in-process and new issues clarified Trigger(s) for Initiating CIFP:

- Board initiated for time-constrained major issues or existing work efforts that have not achieved, or are unlikely to achieve, consensus, or
- For a new issue, by a greater than 2/3 sector-weighted Members
   Committee vote in favor of sending a letter to the Board requesting the CIFP process be initiated, or
- For an in-process stakeholder issue, at proposal-development stage or later, by a greater than 2/3 sector-weighted Members Committee vote in favor of sending a letter to the Board requesting the CIFP process be initiated



- Reorganized the sections so all relevant information about the stages, process, and facilitation are organized by stage.
- Memorialized pre-CIFP meetings
- Memorialized the use of briefing documents for Stage Four
  - PJM and any stakeholders offering packages may produce a short briefing document for their package to aid the Board and stakeholders in understanding their proposal and key differences between their proposal and other offered proposals for use during stage four. The CIFP facilitator will determine the appropriate length limit of the briefing document based on the complexity of the issue and number of proposals.



### Summary of Changes – Stage Four Meeting

- Final Meeting renamed to Stage Four Meeting
- Stage Four Meeting time limit increased from 4 to 5 hours
- Further clarified the purpose of the Stage Four Meeting
  - The purpose of the Stage Four Meeting is to aid the Board in its decision making and to facilitate stakeholder-to-Board conversation. As such, stakeholder-to-stakeholder debate will not be permitted (similar to a Liaison Committee meeting).

www.pjm.com | Public 5



### Summary of Changes – Stage Four Meeting

### Memorialized Stage Four presentation expectations

- PJM and stakeholders who contributed alternative packages will be allocated a time-limited opportunity to present to the Board. PJM will review its package proposal to show how its solution addresses the Problem Statement/Issue Charge. Stakeholders who contributed alternative packages will review their packages, focusing on how their package differs from PJM's and other offered alternative packages.
- Members, the IMM, States, and invited non-Member stakeholders may provide time-limited feedback to the Board individually or in self-selected coalitions. Stakeholder comments shall focus on support or concerns with package details as shown on the matrix. Package sponsors may be allocated timelimited comments after stakeholder feedback.

www.pjm.com | Public 6 PJM©2022



## Summary of Changes – Stage Four MC Meeting

- Memorialized structure of the stage four MC meeting and timelimited at 2 hours.
  - Package sponsors will have a time-limited opportunity to offer a summary and/or clarification points on their packages prior to the vote.
  - Following the summary from package sponsors, Members will be given the choice to move straight to a vote on the packages with no further discussion or have time-limited additional discussion of the packages prior to the vote.

www.pjm.com | Public 7 PJM©2022



# Summary of Changes - In-Person Requirement

• If feasible, the Stage Four Meeting and Stage Four Members Committee Meeting will be conducted as in-person meetings (no phone or video). If an in-person meeting is not feasible, the inperson meeting requirement may be waived at the discretion of the Members Committee Chair.

www.pjm.com | Public PJM©2022



### Summary of Changes - New Graphic

#### **TRIGGERS**

#### Board Initiated:

- Major issue with time constraint
- Existing issues not achieving consensus
- 2 Members Request That the Board Initiate:
  - New issue or in-process stakeholder issue
  - Two-thirds sector-weighted MC vote requirement

#### STAGE 1

#### Introduce **Education & Initial Solution Package**

PJM will provide:

- Stakeholder education
- Initial solution package in matrix
- Other options

#### STAGE 2

#### Develop **Options**

- Process is similar to normal CBIR process.
- Stakeholders may contribute options.

#### STAGE 3

#### Develop **Packages**

- PJM will finalize its package.
- Stakeholders may propose alternative packages.

#### STAGE 4

#### **Board Presentation** & Vote

#### Stage 4 Meeting

- PJM and stakeholders present packages to the Board.
- Members and invited non-members provide feedback on impacts of proposed packages.

#### MC Meeting

Packages are voted on at an MC Meeting following Stage 4 meeting.

Attendance:



Members, PJM, IMM, States



Other Stakeholders, Media

Invited Non-Member Stakeholders

<sup>\*</sup>For full CIFP requirements, refer to M34, Section 8.6.4.



### SME/Presenter:

Dave Anders;
David.Anders@pjm.com
Alex Scheirer;
alexandra.Scheirer@pjm.com

Section 8.6.4 CIFP Process M34
Triennial Review Revisions



### Member Hotline

(610) 666 - 8980

(866) 400 - 8980

custsvc@pjm.com

