

Sub Regional RTEP Committee PJM West

June 17, 2019

⊅∕pjm

Proposal Window Exclusion Definitions

- The following definitions explain the basis for excluding flowgates and/or projects from the competitive planning process and designating projects to the incumbent Transmission Owner.
- Flowgates/projects excluded from competition will include the underlined language on the corresponding slide.
 - <u>Immediate Need Exclusion</u>: Due to the immediate need of the violation (3 years or less), the timing required for an RTEP proposal window is infeasible. As a result, the local Transmission Owner will be the Designated Entity. Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 § 1.5.8(m)
 - <u>Below 200kV Exclusion</u>: Due to the lower voltage level of the identified violation(s), the driver(s) for this project are excluded from the competitive proposal window process. As a result, the local Transmission Owner will be the Designated Entity Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 § 1.5.8(n)
 - <u>FERC 715 (TO Criteria) Exclusion</u>: Due to the violation need of this project resulting solely from FERC 715 TO Reliability Criteria, the driver(s) for this project are excluded from the competitive proposal window process. As a result, the local Transmission Owner will be the Designated Entity Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 § 1.5.8(o)
 - <u>Substation Equipment Exclusion</u>: Due to identification of the limiting element(s) as substation equipment, the driver(s) for this project are excluded from the competitive proposal window process. As a result, the local Transmission Owner will be the Designated Entity Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 § 1.5.8(p)



First Review

Baseline Reliability Projects



APS Transmission Zone: Baseline Glen Falls 138kV Substation Breakers Upgrade

Process Stage: First Review

Criteria: Short Circuit

Assumption Reference: PJM Criteria

Model Used for Analysis: Short Circuit 2020/2023 Base cases

Proposal Window Exclusion: Immediate Need, Below 200 kV

Problem Statement:

The "Rider 50" and "No. 1 & No. 4 transf." 138 kV breakers at Glen Falls 138 kV substation is overdutied due to generation retirement driven system upgrade b2996.

Existing Facility Rating: 5000MVA

Proposed Solution (B2996.3):

Replace two 138 kV breakers (Rider 50 and #1/4 transformer breaker) at Glen Falls 138kV substation with 63kA breakers

• Estimated Cost: 487K

Alternatives: N/A

Required In-Service: 5/31/2020





Process Stage: First Review Criteria: TO Criteria violation Assumption Reference: FERC 715 Model Used for Analysis: 2022 RTEP Summer Proposal Window Exclusion: FERC 715 Problem Statement:

For the N-1-1 loss of

- Derby Cook Thornton 69kV and Bridgman Pletcher 69kV
- Bridgman Cook Thoronton 69kV and Bridgman Pletcher 69kV
- Derby Cook Thornton 69kV and Pletcher 138/69kV TR#1
- Bridgman Cook Thoronton 69kV and Pletcher 138/69kV TR#1 the following violation occurs in the 2022 RTEP case:
- LaPorte Junction New Buffalo 69 kV line gets loaded to 128%, 124%, 103%, 102% of its SE ratings (4/0 ACSR, 50MVA rating)

Potential Solution:

Rebuild 3.11 miles of the LaPorte Junction – New Buffalo 69 kV line with 795 ACSR

Estimated Cost: \$12.3M

Alternatives:

Re-conductor the overloaded sections. The existing wood pole structures can not accommodate bigger conductor.

Required IS Date: 06/01/2022

Project IS Date: 12/15/2020

AEP Transmission Zone: Baseline New Buffalo Area Improvements





Second Review

Baseline Reliability Projects



This page is intentionally left in blank.



This page is intentionally left in blank.



This page is intentionally left in blank.



Previously Presented on 5/20/2019 SRRTEP Process Stage: Second Review Criteria: TO Criteria violation Assumption Reference: FERC 715 Model Used for Analysis: 2022 RTEP Summer Proposal Window Exclusion: FERC 715

Problem Statement:

For loss of Bluff Point – Portland 69kV and Adams – Berne 69kV lines, the following violation occurs in the 2022 RTEP case:

North Portland, Trinity, Berne, South Berne, Monroe and S. Decatur drop below .92 PU with North Portland reaching .8229 PU. The Bockoven load addition (need number AEP-2018-IM005) makes these violations slightly worse.

Proposed Solution:

Jay – North Portland 138/69kV line.

Rebuild the 138kV Jay – Pennville line as double circuit 138/69kV.

Build a new 9.8 mile single circuit 69 kV line from near Pennville station to North Portland station (B3119.1) Cost: \$38.1M

Jay 138/69/34.5kV station

Install 3 69kV breakers to create the "U" string and add a low side breaker on the Jay transformer 2. (B3119.2) Cost: \$3.4M

North Portland 69kV station

Install 2 69kV breakers to complete the ring and allow for the new line. (B3119.3) Cost: \$1.9M

Total Estimated Transmission Baseline Cost: \$43.4M

Required IS Date: 6/1/2022

Project Status: Scoping





Next Steps



Upcoming Western SRRTEP Dates

West	Start	End
7/24/2019	9:00	1:00



Questions?





Revision History

6/10/2019 – V1 – Original version posted to pjm.com 6/13/2019 – V2 – Slides #7 – 9, Removed the slides