ELEVAT E RENEWABLES

Elevate PJM CIR Transfer Efficiency Process Solutions Proposal July 9, 2024 Planning Committee Meeting



- In 2023, PJM issued its 4R Report that announced **40,000 MW of generation at risk of retirement by 2030.**
- PJM has messaged that "current pace of new entry would be **insufficient to keep up with expected retirements and demand growth by 2030.**"
- PJM has announced that it is <u>expecting an additional 10,000 megawatts of demand</u> by 2030 that wasn't forecast last year.
- Over **40,000MW of generation** moved through the traditional interconnection queue, signed IAs, but less **than 3,000MWs actually showed up.**
- Enhancing the CIR Transfer process for existing generators to speedily transfer CIRs from deactivating resources to a replacement resource through a fast-track standalone review process is the solution to this reliability and resource adequacy crisis.

We need new approaches to address new problems in a new era of new technology deployments

FERC Supports Fast Track Interconnection Processes for Deactivating Resources RENEWABLES

"It is the fact that an existing generator possesses interconnection rights in a particular location on the transmission system and in a specific type and quantity that is relevant to whether a separate, fast-track interconnection process may be warranted for replacing that generator."

(Clements) FERC Commissioner Clements' Concurrence in Vistra (Docket No. ER22-2632)

"new interconnection requests are not similarly situated to existing generation facilities" PacifiCorp Order (Docket No. ER23-407-000) "establishment of a separate, resourceneutral generator replacement process for owners of existing generation, administered by the Independent Coordinator, does not provide an undue preference to the transmission provider's existing generation" PacifiCorp Order (Docket No. ER23-407-000)

> "such owners have already gone through an interconnection process and faced cost responsibility for any network upgrades that may have been necessary" PacifiCorp Order (Docket No. ER23-407-000)

PJM CIR Efficiency/Generation Replacement Process Imperatives



	hs
	ont
S	Ĕ
A	ດ
	2.
H	60
X	et
	d
	Ξ

Stand Alone Battery Energy Storage Must Be Eligible for Any PJM Generator Replacement Process

Replacement Resources Considered as Alternative to RMR and Costly Inefficient Transmission Upgrades at Generation Site

CIR Transfer Process Initiated By an "Official" Deactivation Notice, "Unofficial" Request, or NOI to Deactivate

Replacement Resource Study Process Utilize Completed Phase 3 Model

Material Adverse Impact Screen Should Test For Reliability Criteria Violations and IC Has Opportunity To Mitigate Or Modify Proposed Replacement Resource

Replacement Resources Should Be Commercially Operable Within 3 Years From GIA Execution

Rationale for Generation Replacement Imperatives

E L E V A E E E

IMPERATIVE

- Stand Alone Battery Energy Storage Must Be Eligible
- Replacement Resources Considered as Alternative to RMR and Costly Inefficient Transmission Upgrades at Generation Site
- CIR Transfer Process Initiated By an "Official" Deactivation Notice, "Unofficial" Request, or NOI to Deactivate
- Replacement Resource Studies Include only Short Circuit and Stability
- Replacement Resource Study Process Utilize Completed Phase 3
- Material Adverse Impact Screen Should Test For "Reliability Criteria Violations" Caused By the Replacement Generation
- Replacement Resources should be Commercially Operable within 3 years from GIA Execution

RATIONALE



Charging is load. Should be deemed only as a companion study to any generator interconnection study (Cycle or Replacement study) or be allowed to separately pursue load study as other traditional load.

Common practice at other RTOs. Reduce cost to rate-payers.

Allows notification to PJM to allow study process to begin to align timing of actual "deenergizing" of deactivation resource with "energizing" of replacement resource.

Thermal study not required – retiring generators received capacity/firm rights after being studied and paying for network upgrades, for both peak and off-peak scenarios.



Interconnection application in early Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies are subject to significant withdrawals causing need to restudy constantly.



Dynamic and short circuit performances are mostly localized and do not have the "competing for headroom" issue. All requests are likely to fail if any change of performance is considered adverse impact.



It will not unduly withhold transmission capacity and timely provide capacity to PJM market.

Generation Replacement Process Flow Chart Diagram



Initiated upon submission of generator replacement request to PJM, and the process will occur as a stand-alone process outside of the current new interconnection services agreement process, i.e. new generator replacement process



If MAI identified, the IC is given the opportunity to amend the project to remove the MAI or IC Customer can elect to withdraw and resume its position in the New Interconnection Queue Process

CIRs = capacity interconnection rights MFO = maximum facility output MAI = material adverse impact NU = network upgrade

*Note: different asset ownership permitted (with transfer of CIRs), deactivation notice optional but not required to initiate process

2026 is Too Late to Begin Review of Resources to Replace Retiring Generation $E \sqcup E \lor A \sqcup E$ $E \lor A \sqcup E \sqcup E$ Current PJM Interconnection Process With Gen Replacement Overlayed

FIGURE 9: TRANSITION PERIOD SEQUENCING AND PROCESS



Timelines from PJM June 14, 2022 Transmittal Letter in ER22-2110



CONTACT INFORMATION:

Tonja Wicks Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Elevate Renewable Energy Phone: (857) 217-0289 Email: twicks@elevaterenewableenergy.com