



Gas Contingencies Cost Recovery

Thomas DeVita

Sr. Counsel, Office of the General Counsel

July 19, 2019

Objective 1

- Explicitly state the “conditions or events” impacting natural gas (as opposed to electric) infrastructure that would lead PJM to issue a switching instruction.
 - Paragraphs (i), (ii)

Objective 2

- Replace the defined cost buckets with a direct “but for” test to encompass all costs that would not have been incurred “but for” the generator’s compliance with the switching instruction.
 - Paragraph (iii)

Objective 3

- Incorporate by reference all potentially applicable gas tariffs/rate schedules/contracts in the PJM Region, and use this incorporation as the basis for ensuring applicable pipeline/LDC authorization.
 - Paragraphs (iv), (v)

Objective 4

- Respect the penalty structure of each potentially applicable gas tariff/rate schedule/contract in the PJM Region.
 - Paragraphs (iv), (v)

1. Temporal limitation on duration of Operating Instruction.
2. Confidentiality issues with respect to rate case.
3. Remove “mandatory load dump action” language.
4. “Cease generating” as third option for Operating Instruction.
5. Limitations on Capacity Performance Exemption.

	MIC	MRC	MC
First Read	8.7.19	8.22.19	
Endorsement	9.11.19	9.26.19	9.26.19

Filed at FERC	10.15.19
Effective	12.15.19

- Please send comments/revisions to:

Thomas DeVita

Sr. Counsel, Office of the General Counsel

Direct: (610) 635-3042

Mobile: (610) 314-1298

Thomas.DeVita@pjm.com