

### Alternative ELC Process (DRAFT)

Purpose	This process is to be used only in extraordinary circumstances (broad impact to markets or significant reliability issue), and is expected to be used very infrequently  <i>Reference: Exhibit 8, M34</i>
Trigger	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Board initiated for either new issues or existing work efforts that have not achieved consensus</li> <li>2. New issue &gt; SW vote on PS/IC for the alternate path&gt; letter to the board requesting this be initiated</li> <li>3. In-process issue, at proposal development stage or later &gt; SW MC Vote</li> </ol> <i>Reference: Exhibit 8, M34</i>
Documents	For new extraordinary issues create PS & IC as informational and to set scope and deliverables; but no vote required if board initiated.
Format	Matrix, pre-loaded with PJM package + issue (row) alternatives considered
Education	<p>PJM presents their package + explains alternatives considered and why selected option is better. Presents simulation results, review studies performed, and review market impacts.</p> <p>Stakeholders have opportunity to offer feedback, alternative ideas, request additional studies</p> <p>PJM drives using matrix + whitepaper/briefing paper as needed.</p> <p>Stakeholders – no requirement for whitepaper. Add packages to matrix for comparison (great tool for board)</p>
Meeting Stages	<p>Stage 1 – Education + PJM presents their initial solution package and considered alternatives to stakeholders</p> <p>Stage 2 - Stakeholders discuss any alternatives by row</p> <p>Stage 3 – PJM package and alternative packages finalized</p> <p>Stage 4 – MC Vote followed <del>by</del> “Final Meeting” : PJM and Stakeholder Matrix Package presentation(s) to the Board</p>
MC Meeting	<p>At the conclusion of the “Final Meeting”, an MC meeting will be convened to vote on the packages.</p> <p>SW voting on all packages in one pass (like MIC now). Include MC level voting report in report to Board – all informational.</p> <p>(hope for SW pass for 205 filing)</p>
Final Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be scheduled ideally on the morning of an existing MRC/MC meeting date.</li> <li>• LC “like” – <u>up to 4</u> hour private conversation <u>(including IMM, PJM and states)</u></li> <li>• Comments should be on the key differences between packages</li> <li>• Attendance - no media</li> <li>• Presentation timing – <u>(no slides)</u> 30 minutes per sector/ X minutes per speaker. States allowed X minutes total at the start of the meeting. Any extra time shifts to Q&amp;A or may be otherwise allocated by the chair.</li> <li>• Stakeholders including the IMM have X min. max to present their alternative solutions + X time for Q&amp;A with the board.</li> <li>• Briefing papers limited to 3-pages supporting a proposal are optional, but must be submitted by X, and distributed to the Board only.</li> </ul>

Board Participation	Final Meeting - <del>2</del> <b>two</b> Board members in person consistent with MC protocols. <del>Ideally</del> <del>→</del> <del>a</del> All board members <u>offered the opportunity to</u> attend in-person or by phone. <u>Option for discussion at a regular Liaison Committee meeting if full Board attendance is desired.</u>
Meeting Frequency	<u>For stages 1, 2 and 3 -</u> Sufficient to cover work; multi-day meetings OK. OK to require cancellation/reschedule of other stakeholder meetings including standing committees.
Board Response	Communication to stakeholders BEFORE filing – includes detailed response on why they selected the solution they did; focus on the contentious lines in the matrix - include justification/reasoning.
Facilitator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In consultation with current and prior MC chair plus the sector whips <u>and the MC Secretary</u>, MC Chair determines facilitator (PJM/Member/Outside specialist all options). <u>Consideration of outside facilitator would need to account for budget limitations.</u></li> <li>Facilitator may be the same or different for the different stages.</li> <li>A Member (recommended – current or past MC Chair) may facilitate the “Final Meeting”.</li> </ul>
Monitoring Analytics	Must meet with PJM to build consensus package if possible. They may present solution, but same time restrictions as other stakeholders (X min max)
Participants	Early meetings – Media OK but NO attribution, <u>PJM</u> , states & MA OK Final meeting – time limited presentations. In person only (no phone), no media, MA & PJM <u>&amp; states</u> OK
Outcome	Once all steps of this process have been completed, the Board retains the authority to act or not

**Comment [DA1]:** The ELC process in M34 sets up the facilitations as follows:

“The MC Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary will assign the facilitator role (typically assumed in regular Liaison Committee meetings by the MC Vice Chair) to a member, a PJM Staff professional, or an external professional. Facilitation of the meeting shall be done in a non-partisan and effective manner.”