Muddy Creek / North Delta - Conastone Solution

General Information

Company proposal ID

Proposing entity name Proprietary Business Information

Does the entity who is submitting this proposal intend to be the

Designated Entity for this proposed project?

Proprietary Business Information

PJM Proposal ID 530

Project title Muddy Creek / North Delta - Conastone Solution

Project description New Muddy Creek 230 kV switchyard, New Muddy Creek - Conastone / Graceton 230 kV lines,

Yes

New North Delta 500 kV switchyard, New North Delta - Conastone 500 kV line, plus various modifications to existing lines and substations. Proposal permitting and overhead costs are

captured in component 25B. See attachment 1 for flowgate information.

Email Proprietary Business Information

Project in-service date 06/2027

Tie-line impact No

Interregional project No

Is the proposer offering a binding cap on capital costs?

Yes

Additional benefits

Project Components

- 1. 25B New double circuit 230kV transmission line from new Muddy Creek switchyard to the point where PPL's Manor Graceton 230kV transmission line crosses Peach Otter Creek 500kV transmission line
- 2. 25C New single circuit 230kV transmission line from where PPL's Manor Graceton 230kV transmission line crosses Peach Bottom Otter Creek 500kV transmission line to where the Otter Creek Conastone 230kV transmission line begins

- 3. 26d Waugh Chapel to Brandon Shores 230kV upgrade
- 4. 25F Muddy Run to Peach Bottom 230kV upgrade
- 5. 26A New 500kV transmission line from new North Delta substation to BGE's Conastone substation.
- 6. 25a New Muddy Creek Substation- 6 terminal
- 7. 25d Graceton substation single 230kV breaker expansion
- 8. 26C Conastone substation single 500kV breaker expansion
- 9. 26b New North Delta Substation 3 terminal
- 10. 25b2 Muddy Creek to Graceton 230kV Brownfield Component
- 11. 25c2 Muddy Creek to Conastone 230kV Brownfield Component
- 12. 25e Conastone substation 230kV termination

Greenfield Transmission Line Component

·					
Component title	25B - New double circuit 230kV transmission line from new Muddy Creek switchyard to the point where PPL's Manor - Graceton 230kV transmission line crosses Peach - Otter Creek 500kV transmission line				
Project description	Proprietary Business Information				
Point A	Muddy Creek				
Point B	Graceton				
Point C	N/A				
	Normal ratings	Emergency ratings			
Summer (MVA)	1295.000000	1863.000000			
Winter (MVA)	1534.000000	1795.000000			
Conductor size and type	3x 1590 kcmil Falcon ACSR				
Nominal voltage	AC				
Nominal voltage	230				
Line construction type	Overhead				

General route description

Terrain description

Right-of-way width by segment

Electrical transmission infrastructure crossings

Civil infrastructure/major waterway facility crossing plan

Route is approximately 6.1 miles long. Starting on the east end at the new Muddy Creek substation and routing toward the west, the double circuit 230kV circuit follows the existing Peach Bottom - Otter Creek ROW on the north side. The double circuit ends at the intersection of Manor - Graceton 230kV transmission line and Peach Bottom - Otter Creek 500kV transmission line. The line component 25B1 then continues from the end of this component south as a single circuit to Graceton substation.

The Project is located in York County, which is in the southernmost portion of Pennsylvania, along the state boundary with Maryland. York County lies within the Appalachian Highlands, a region characterized by a rounded/forested landscape with an elevation of 6,000 feet or less on average. The Appalachian Highlands Region is further broken down into provinces based on different land forms. York County lies almost entirely within the Piedmont Province, except for small areas in the northern portion of the County that are located within the Blue Ridge Province and the Ridge and Valley Province. The Piedmont Upland Section is located in the southern third of the County. The Piedmont Upland is characterized by rolling hills and valleys, generally with gentle to moderately steep slopes. However, steeper slopes with narrow valley bottoms dominate near the Susquehanna River. Many higher ridges are underlain by more resistant bedrock such as quartzite. This Section was formed by fluvial erosion and some peri-glacial wasting and averages about 600-700 feet in elevation. The drainage pattern of the area is considered to be dendritic. Slopes in the range of 0-8% are common throughout York County. The Piedmont Upland of Pennsylvania has a humid continental climate. Weather systems that affect the area generally originate in the central United States and move eastward over the Appalachians. Periodically, moist northward moving weather systems bring moderate and heavy precipitation to the area.

The majority of the new right of way will be an expansion of an existing transmission line corridor, where a 45 ft additional width will be required beyond the existing, assumed, ROW edge.

See Attachment 4 (Google Earth .kmz) with identified major crossings.

See Attachment 4 (Google Earth .kmz) with identified major crossings and Attachment 5 - Crossing Plan for more detail.

Environmental impacts

Tower characteristics

Construction responsibility

Benefits/Comments

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design

Permitting / routing / siting

ROW / land acquisition

Materials & equipment

Construction & commissioning

Construction management

Overheads & miscellaneous costs

Environmental constraints identified are manageable through implementation of an environmental avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategy incorporated at the beginning of the routing/siting process. Co-location with existing utilities and other infrastructure was prioritized to the greatest extent practicable to minimize the environmental impact on the landscape. The proposed route crosses 6 national wetland inventory (NWI) wetlands and 4 waterbodies, but it appears that most features are small and could be avoided without permitting. Consultation with the Army Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service, and numerous state agencies is expected. Fatal flaws have not been identified for proposed route. A cultural resource professional assisted with the routing process to identify and minimize impacts to known areas with historic sensitivities. An investigation to further identify and evaluate historic properties will be conducted to determine the presence of archaeologically or historically significant resources. Federally listed species have been identified including listed bats and the bog turtle, but no critical habitat was identified along the proposed route. If suitable habitat is identified or regulations change, agency coordination and species-specific surveys will occur. The project intends to adhere to tree removal seasonal restriction windows to avoid and minimize impacts to protected birds and bats, such as the northern long-eared bat, bald eagle, and other common raptors. Erosion control best management practices and setbacks will be engineered and utilized to prevent sedimentation from leaving the site for the protection of aquatic species and to avoid water quality impacts. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the proposed transmission line that cannot be addressed.

The proposed structures will be double circuit 230kV steel monopoles (TVVS-230DC) in a vertical conductor configuration. Any proposed deadend structure will be a steel monopole. See proposed structure drawing set included in Attachment 10.

Proprietary Business Information

Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process.

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Contingency **Proprietary Business Information**

Total component cost \$26,754,980.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$23,930,641.00

Greenfield Transmission Line Component

Component title 25C - New single circuit 230kV transmission line from where PPL's Manor - Graceton 230kV transmission line crosses Peach Bottom - Otter Creek 500kV transmission line to where the Otter

Creek - Conastone 230kV transmission line begins

Project description **Proprietary Business Information**

Point A Muddy Creek

Point B Conastone

Point C N/A

Summer (MVA) 1295.000000

Normal ratings

Winter (MVA) 1534.000000 1795.000000

Conductor size and type 3x 1590 kcmil Falcon ACSR

AC Nominal voltage

Nominal voltage 230

Line construction type Overhead

General route description

Emergency ratings

1863.000000

Route is approximately 4.9 miles. Starting at the intersection of the Manor - Graceton 230kV transmission line and Peach Bottom - Otter Creek 500kV transmission line, where component 25B ends and 25B1 begins, the single circuit component 25C routes west following the existing Peach Bottom - Otter Creek ROW on the north side until it reaches the Otter Creek substation. The line component 25C1 then continues from the end of this component south as a single circuit to Conastone substation.

Terrain description

Right-of-way width by segment

Electrical transmission infrastructure crossings

Civil infrastructure/major waterway facility crossing plan

Environmental impacts

The Project is located in York County, which is in the southernmost portion of Pennsylvania, along the state boundary with Maryland. York County lies within the Appalachian Highlands, a region characterized by a rounded/forested landscape with an elevation of 6,000 feet or less on average. The Appalachian Highlands Region is further broken down into provinces based on different land forms. York County lies almost entirely within the Piedmont Province, except for small areas in the northern portion of the County that are located within the Blue Ridge Province and the Ridge and Valley Province. The Piedmont Upland Section is located in the southern third of the County. The Piedmont Upland is characterized by rolling hills and valleys, generally with gentle to moderately steep slopes. However, steeper slopes with narrow valley bottoms dominate near the Susquehanna River. Many higher ridges are underlain by more resistant bedrock such as quartzite. This Section was formed by fluvial erosion and some peri-glacial wasting and averages about 600-700 feet in elevation. The drainage pattern of the area is considered to be dendritic. Slopes in the range of 0-8% are common throughout York County. The Piedmont Upland of Pennsylvania has a humid continental climate. Weather systems that affect the area generally originate in the central United States and move eastward over the Appalachians. Periodically, moist northward moving weather systems bring moderate and heavy precipitation to the area.

The majority of the new right of way will be an expansion of an existing transmission line corridor, where a 45 ft additional width will be required beyond the existing, assumed, ROW edge.

See Attachment 4 (Google Earth .kmz) with identified major crossings.

See Attachment 4 (Google Earth .kmz) with identified major crossings and Attachment 5 - Crossing Plan for more detail.

Environmental constraints identified are manageable through implementation of an environmental avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategy incorporated at the beginning of the routing/siting process. Co-location with existing utilities and other infrastructure was prioritized to the greatest extent practicable to minimize the environmental impact on the landscape. The proposed route crosses 6 national wetland inventory (NWI) wetlands and 4 waterbodies, but it appears that most features are small and could be avoided without permitting. Consultation with the Army Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service, and numerous state agencies is expected. Fatal flaws have not been identified for proposed route. A cultural resource professional assisted with the routing process to identify and minimize impacts to known areas with historic sensitivities. An investigation to further identify and evaluate historic properties will be conducted to determine the presence of archaeologically or historically significant resources. Federally listed species have been identified including listed bats and the bog turtle, but no critical habitat was identified along the proposed route. If suitable habitat is identified or regulations change, agency coordination and species-specific surveys will occur. The project intends to adhere to tree removal seasonal restriction windows to avoid and minimize impacts to protected birds and bats, such as the northern long-eared bat, bald eagle, and other common raptors. Erosion control best management practices and setbacks will be engineered and utilized to prevent sedimentation from leaving the site for the protection of aquatic species and to avoid water quality impacts. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the proposed transmission line that cannot be addressed.

Tower characteristics

The proposed structures will be single circuit 230kV steel monopoles (TVS-230) in a delta conductor configuration. Any proposed deadend structure will be a steel monopole. See proposed structure drawing set included in Attachment 10.

Construction responsibility

Proprietary Business Information

Benefits/Comments

Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$15,935,150.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$17,589,424.00

Transmission Line Upgrade Component

Component title 26d - Waugh Chapel to Brandon Shores 230kV upgrade

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Impacted transmission line Waugh Chapel sub to Brandon Shores sub double circuit 230kV line

Point A Waugh Chapel

Point B Brandon Shores

Point C N/A

Terrain description

Upgrade is within existing ROW.

Existing Line Physical Characteristics

Operating voltage

230

Conductor size and type Incumbent / Current Transmission owner specific

Hardware plan description

Utilize existing line hardware to extent possible.

Tower line characteristics

Utilize existing towers to extent practicable.

Proposed Line Characteristics

Summer (MVA)

Voltage (kV) 230.000000 230.000000

Winter (MVA) 1648.000000 1896.000000

Conductor size and type Incumbent / Transmission Owner to select conductor to achieve the required ratings

Designed

Normal ratings

1573.000000

Shield wire size and type

Utilize existing shield wire to extent practicable

Rebuild line length 14.4

Rebuild portion description Proposing to upgrade limiting elements to achieve specific rating.

Right of way

Use of existing ROW to extent practicable.

Construction responsibility Proprietary Company Information

Benefits/Comments Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

2022-W3-530 8

Operating

Emergency ratings

1810.000000

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$5,000,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$5,519,064.00

Transmission Line Upgrade Component

Component title 25F - Muddy Run to Peach Bottom 230kV upgrade

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Impacted transmission line Muddy Run sub to Peach Bottom sub 500kV line

Point A Muddy Run

Point B Peach Bottom

Point C N/A

Terrain description Upgrade is within existing ROW.

Existing Line Physical Characteristics

Operating voltage 230

Conductor size and type Incumbent / Current Transmission owner specific

Hardware plan description

Utilize existing line hardware to extent possible.

Tower line characteristics

Utilize existing towers to extent practicable.

Proposed Line Characteristics

	Designed	Operating			
Voltage (kV)	230.000000	230.000000			
	Normal ratings	Emergency ratings			
Summer (MVA)	1573.000000	1810.000000			
Winter (MVA)	1648.000000	1896.000000			
Conductor size and type	Incumbent / Transmission Owner to select conductor to achieve the required ratings				
Shield wire size and type	Utilize existing shield wire to extent practicable				
Rebuild line length	4.5				
Rebuild portion description	Proposing to upgrade limiting elements to achieve specific rating.				
Right of way	Use of existing ROW to extent practicable.				
Construction responsibility	Proprietary Company Information				
Benefits/Comments	Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's	Gen. Deliv. Process			
Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$					
Engineering & design	Proprietary Business Information				
Permitting / routing / siting	Proprietary Business Information				
ROW / land acquisition	Proprietary Business Information				
Materials & equipment	Proprietary Business Information				
Construction & commissioning	Proprietary Business Information				
Construction management	Proprietary Business Information				
Overheads & miscellaneous costs	Proprietary Business Information				

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$5,000,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$5,519,064.00

Greenfield Transmission Line Component

Component title 26A - New 500kV transmission line from new North Delta substation to BGE's Conastone

substation.

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Point A North Delta

Point B Conastone

Point C N/A

Normal ratings Emergency ratings

Summer (MVA) 4295.000000 4357.000000

Winter (MVA) 5066.000000 5196.000000

Conductor size and type 3x 1780 kcmil Chukar ACSR

Nominal voltage AC

Nominal voltage 500

Line construction type Overhead

General route description

Terrain description

Right-of-way width by segment

Electrical transmission infrastructure crossings

Civil infrastructure/major waterway facility crossing plan

Route is approximately 15 miles long. Starting a new dead end structure at the new North Delta substation the lines routes southwest for about 0.75 miles before turning northeast to cross the existing Peach Bottom - Conastone 500kV transmission line. The line routes along the west side of existing Peach Bottom - Conastone 500kV transmission ROW for about 3.5 miles before crossing to the east side of the tranmission ROW to avoid impacting Elixir Farm. The line follows the east side of the existing Peach Bottom - Conastone 500kV transmission ROW for less than a mile and the deviates south-southeast for about 0.75 miles to avoid residential impacts before again following the existing Peach Bottom - Conastone 500kV transmission ROW. The line follows along the southern side of the Peach - Bottom Conastone 500kV transmission ROW for about 9 miles before terminating at the existing Conastone substation, except for at about mile 6 where the line deviates off the existing ROW to avoid residential impacts.

The Project traverses through Harford County, Maryland into York County, Pennsylvania. Harford County is located in northeastern Maryland in the Piedmont province, characterized by broad, rolling upland with several deep gorges cut by rivers. Features include rolling hills, pasture and fertile farmland, Quarries and iron pits, and the Susquehanna and Monocacy rivers. The Piedmont region's elevation ranges from an average of 350 feet to more than 1,200 feet. In York County the Piedmont Upland is characterized by rolling hills and valleys, generally with gentle to moderately steep slopes. However, steeper slopes with narrow valley bottoms dominate near the Susquehanna River. Many higher ridges are underlain by more resistant bedrock such as quartzite. This Section was formed by fluvial erosion and some peri-glacial wasting and averages about 600-700 feet in elevation. The drainage pattern of the area is considered to be dendritic. Slopes in the range of 0-8% are common throughout York County.

The majority of the new right of way will be an expansion of an existing transmission line corridor, where a 135ft additional width will be required beyond the existing, assumed, ROW edge.

See Attachment 4 (Google Earth .kmz) with identified major crossings.

See Attachment 4 (Google Earth .kmz) with identified major crossings and Attachment 5 - Crossing Plan for more detail.

Environmental impacts

Tower characteristics

Construction responsibility

Benefits/Comments

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design

Permitting / routing / siting

ROW / land acquisition

Materials & equipment

Construction & commissioning

Construction management

Environmental constraints identified are manageable through implementation of an environmental avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategy incorporated at the beginning of the routing/siting process. Co-location with existing utilities and other infrastructure was prioritized to the greatest extent practicable to minimize the environmental impact on the landscape. The proposed route crosses 4 forested national wetland inventory (NWI) wetlands and 8 waterbodies, but it appears that most features are small and could be avoided without permitting. Consultation with the Army Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service, and numerous state agencies in Maryland and Pennsylvania are expected. Fatal flaws have not been identified for proposed route. A cultural resource professional assisted with the routing process to identify and minimize impacts to known areas with historic sensitivities. An investigation to further identify and evaluate historic properties will be conducted to determine the presence of archaeologically or historically significant resources. Federally listed species have been identified including listed bats, Maryland dater, and the bog turtle, but no critical habitat was identified along the proposed route. If suitable habitat is identified or regulations change, agency coordination and species-specific surveys will occur. The project intends to adhere to tree removal seasonal restriction windows to avoid and minimize impacts to protected birds and bats, such as the northern long-eared bat, bald eagle, and other common raptors. Erosion control best management practices and setbacks will be engineered and utilized to prevent sedimentation from leaving the site for the protection of aquatic species and to avoid water quality impacts. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the proposed transmission line that cannot be addressed.

The proposed structures will be single circuit 500kV lattice towers (TTVS-500) in a horizontal configuration. Any proposed deadend structure will either be a steel lattice tower or a 3-pole, one phase per pole configuration. See proposed structure drawing set included in attachment 10.

Proprietary Business Information

Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$50,507,450.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$55,750,774.00

Greenfield Substation Component

Component title 25a - New Muddy Creek Substation- 6 terminal

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Substation name Muddy Creek

Substation description AC Air Insulated Substation (AIS): New proposed 230 kV Substation. New Ring Bus switchyard, six

(6) line terminals, six (6) 230kV, 5000A, 80 kAIC Breakers

Nominal voltage AC

Nominal voltage 230

Transformer Information

None

Major equipment description AC Air Insulated Substation (AIS): New proposed 230 kV Substation. New Ring Bus switchyard, six

Normal ratings

(6) line terminals, six (6) 230kV, 5000A, 80kAIC Breakers

Summer (MVA) 0.000000 0.000000

Winter (MVA) 0.000000 0.000000

2022-W3-530 14

Emergency ratings

Environmental assessment

Outreach plan

Land acquisition plan

Construction responsibility

Environmental constraints identified are manageable through implementation of an environmental avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategy incorporated at the beginning of the routing/siting process. Co-location with existing utilities and other infrastructure was prioritized to the greatest extent practicable to minimize the environmental impact on the landscape. The proposed site crosses no national wetland inventory (NWI) wetlands or waterbodies but is located near Muddy Creek. Fatal flaws have not been identified for proposed site. A cultural resource professional assisted with the siting process to identify and minimize impacts to known areas with historic sensitivities. An investigation to further identify and evaluate historic properties will be conducted to determine the presence of archaeologically or historically significant resources. Federally listed species have been identified including listed bats, but no critical habitat was identified in the area of the substation site. If suitable habitat is identified or regulations change, agency coordination and species-specific surveys will occur. The project intends to adhere to tree removal seasonal restriction windows to avoid and minimize impacts to protected birds and bats, such as the northern long-eared bat, bald eagle, and other common raptors. Erosion control best management practices and setbacks will be engineered and utilized to prevent sedimentation from leaving the site for the protection of aquatic species and to avoid water quality impacts. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the proposed substation site that cannot be addressed.

The Company is committed to working with all interested stakeholders through a robust public outreach program to address/respond to community concerns and inform the public about the project to the greatest extent practicable. The Company believes a well-designed public outreach program can have numerous benefits, including fostering a cooperative relationship with landowners and other stakeholders, expediting the regulatory permitting process, and assisting with project development. In general, the purpose of the community outreach plan is to gain community support for the project. In the affected communities, the Company's public outreach plan will educate the public and relevant stakeholders on specific project details to enable timely regulatory approvals and construction activities. Elements of the public outreach plan will include the following: 1) Identify potential issues at an early stage by engagement with key community stakeholders at the outset; 2) Broaden the community engagement process to identify potential and relevant community benefits that can facilitate community support for the proposed project; 3) Develop a broad base of community support for the proposed project before the regulatory agencies; and 4) Develop a comprehensive administrative record documenting the community outreach process that can be presented to the regulatory agency or, in the event of a legal challenge, to the appropriate court. The outreach plan proposes to dedicate considerable time and resources in engaging the community, and specifically the affected community during the planning process to identify highly sensitive areas that have the least amount of cultural, environmental, and social impacts on the community. The plans will reflect avoidance of impacts rather than mitigation. However, in some cases, if avoidance is not possible, then the Company will involve the community in providing appropriate and practical mitigation measures. The Company will commence its public outreach activities following project award.

See Attachment 9 for Land Acquisition Plan.

Proprietary Business Information

Benefits/Comments Resolves reliability and market efficiency issues identified per PJM's. process. Substation is a

switchyard with no voltage transformation.

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$8,218,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$9,071,134.00

Substation Upgrade Component

Component title 25d - Graceton substation single 230kV breaker expansion

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Substation name Graceton

Substation zone BG&E

Substation upgrade scope Add a new 230kV circuit breaker and one MOD.

Transformer Information

None

New equipment description AC Substation: Add one (1) new 230 kV breaker to existing bay in breaker and a half (BAAH) bus.

Substation assumptions

The use of a position within a bay appears to be available.

Real-estate description No expansion of substation fence anticipated

Construction responsibility Proprietary Company Information

Benefits/Comments Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$1,400,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$1,545,338.00

Substation Upgrade Component

Component title 26C - Conastone substation single 500kV breaker expansion

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Substation name Conastone

Substation zone BG&E

Substation upgrade scope

Add one new 500kV circuit breaker at Conastone to terminate the new greenfield North Delta to Conastone 500kV transmission line.

Transformer Information

None

New equipment description AC Substation: Add one (1) new 500 kV breaker to existing bay in breaker and a half (BAAH) bus.

Substation assumptions

The use of a position within a bay appears to be available.

Real-estate description No expansion of substation fence anticipated

Construction responsibility Proprietary Company Information

Benefits/Comments Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$1,400,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$1,545,338.00

Greenfield Substation Component

Component title 26b - New North Delta Substation - 3 terminal

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Substation name North Delta

Substation description

Nominal voltage

Nominal voltage

Transformer Information

None

Major equipment description

Summer (MVA)

Winter (MVA)

Environmental assessment

AC Air Insulated Substation (AIS): New proposed 500 kV Substation. New Ring Bus switchyard, three (3) line terminals, three (3) 500 kV, 5000A, 63kAIC Breakers

AC

500

AC Air Insulated Substation (AIS): New proposed 500 kV Substation. New Ring Bus switchyard, three (3) line terminals, three (3) 500 kV, 5000A, 63kAIC Breakers

Normal ratings	Emergency ratings
0.000000	0.000000
0.000000	0.00000

Environmental constraints identified are manageable through implementation of an environmental avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategy incorporated at the beginning of the routing/siting process. Co-location with existing utilities and other infrastructure was prioritized to the greatest extent practicable to minimize the environmental impact on the landscape. The proposed site crosses no national wetland inventory (NWI) wetlands or waterbodies. Fatal flaws have not been identified for proposed site. A cultural resource professional assisted with the siting process to identify and minimize impacts to known areas with historic sensitivities. An investigation to further identify and evaluate historic properties will be conducted to determine the presence of archaeologically or historically significant resources. Federally listed species have been identified with potential to occur in the area including listed bats and bog turles, but no critical habitat was identified in the area of the substation site. If suitable habitat is identified or regulations change, agency coordination and species-specific surveys will occur. The project intends to adhere to tree removal seasonal restriction windows to avoid and minimize impacts to protected birds and bats, such as the northern long-eared bat, bald eagle, and other common raptors. Erosion control best management practices and setbacks will be engineered and utilized to prevent sedimentation from leaving the site for the protection of aquatic species and to avoid water quality impacts. There are no unique or sensitive environmental concerns or impacts with the proposed substation site that cannot be addressed.

Outreach plan

Land acquisition plan

Construction responsibility

Benefits/Comments

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design

Permitting / routing / siting

ROW / land acquisition

Materials & equipment

Construction & commissioning

Construction management

The Company is committed to working with all interested stakeholders through a robust public outreach program to address/respond to community concerns and inform the public about the project to the greatest extent practicable. The Company believes a well-designed public outreach program can have numerous benefits, including fostering a cooperative relationship with landowners and other stakeholders, expediting the regulatory permitting process, and assisting with project development. In general, the purpose of the community outreach plan is to gain community support for the project. In the affected communities, the Company's public outreach plan will educate the public and relevant stakeholders on specific project details to enable timely regulatory approvals and construction activities. Elements of the public outreach plan will include the following: 1) Identify potential issues at an early stage by engagement with key community stakeholders at the outset; 2) Broaden the community engagement process to identify potential and relevant community benefits that can facilitate community support for the proposed project; 3) Develop a broad base of community support for the proposed project before the regulatory agencies; and 4) Develop a comprehensive administrative record documenting the community outreach process that can be presented to the regulatory agency or, in the event of a legal challenge, to the appropriate court. The outreach plan proposes to dedicate considerable time and resources in engaging the community, and specifically the affected community during the planning process to identify highly sensitive areas that have the least amount of cultural, environmental, and social impacts on the community. The plans will reflect avoidance of impacts rather than mitigation. However, in some cases, if avoidance is not possible, then the Company will involve the community in providing appropriate and practical mitigation measures. The Company will commence its public outreach activities following project award. "

See Attachment 9 for Land Acquisition Plan.

Proprietary Business Information

Resolves reliability and market efficiency issues identified per PJM's. process. Substation is a switchyard with no voltage transformation.

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$16,541,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$18,258,169.00

Transmission Line Upgrade Component

Component title 25b2 - Muddy Creek to Graceton 230kV Brownfield Component

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Impacted transmission line Manor sub to Graceton sub 230kV line

Point A Muddy Creek

Point B Graceton

Point C N/A

Terrain description Upgrade is within existing ROW.

Existing Line Physical Characteristics

Operating voltage 230

Conductor size and type Incumbent / Current Transmission owner specific

Hardware plan description

Utilize existing line hardware to extent possible.

Tower line characteristics

Utilize existing towers to extent practicable. There is an open position on the existing transmission

towers for a new circuit.

Designed

Proposed Line Characteristics

Voltage (kV) 230.000000 230.000000

Normal ratings Emergency ratings

2022-W3-530 21

Operating

Summer (MVA) 1295.000000 1863.000000 Winter (MVA) 1534.000000 1795.000000 Conductor size and type Incumbent / Transmission Owner to select conductor to achieve the required ratings. Shield wire size and type Utilize existing shield wire to extent practicable. Rebuild line length 9.3 Proposing to build the new circuit on the existing tower due to there being an available position on Rebuild portion description the current double circuit towers with only one circuit installed. Right of way Use of existing ROW to extent practicable. Construction responsibility **Proprietary Company Information** Benefits/Comments Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process. **Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$** Engineering & design **Proprietary Business Information** Permitting / routing / siting **Proprietary Business Information** ROW / land acquisition **Proprietary Business Information** Materials & equipment **Proprietary Business Information** Construction & commissioning **Proprietary Business Information** Construction management **Proprietary Business Information** Overheads & miscellaneous costs **Proprietary Business Information** Contingency **Proprietary Business Information**

\$13,671,000.00

\$15,090,226.00

Transmission Line Upgrade Component

Total component cost

Component cost (in-service year)

Component title 25c2 - Muddy Creek to Conastone 230kV Brownfield Component

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Impacted transmission line Otter Creek sub to Conastone sub 230kV

Point A Muddy Creek

Point B Conastone

Point C N/A

Terrain description Upgrade is within existing ROW

Existing Line Physical Characteristics

Operating voltage 230

Conductor size and type Incumbent / Current Transmission owner specific

Hardware plan description

Utilize existing line hardware to extent possible.

Tower line characteristics

Utilize existing towers to extent practicable. There is open position on the existing transmission towers for a new circuit.

Proposed Line Characteristics

Voltage (kV) 230.000000 230.000000

Normal ratings Emergency ratings

Summer (MVA) 1295.000000 1863.000000

Winter (MVA) 1534.000000 1795.000000

Conductor size and type Incumbent / Transmission Owner to select conductor to achieve the required ratings.

Designed

Shield wire size and type

Utilize existing shield wire to extent practicable.

Rebuild line length 14.7

2022-W3-530 23

Operating

Rebuild portion description

Proposing to build the new circuit on the existing tower due to there being an available position on the current double circuit towers with only one circuit installed.

Right of way

Use of existing ROW to extent practicable.

Construction responsibility

Proprietary Company Information

Benefits/Comments

Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$21,609,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$23,852,293.00

Substation Upgrade Component

Component title 25e - Conastone substation 230kV termination

Project description Proprietary Business Information

Substation name Conastone

Substation zone BG&E

Substation upgrade scope Terminate new Muddy Creek to Conastone 230kV transmission line at Conastone

Transformer Information

None

New equipment description AC substation: terminate new 230kV transmission line into spare position in the western most bay.

Substation assumptions

The use of a position within a bay appears to be available.

Real-estate description No expansion of substation fence anticipated

Construction responsibility Proprietary Company Information

Benefits/Comments Resolves reliability issues identified per PJM's Gen. Deliv. Process

Component Cost Details - In Current Year \$

Engineering & design Proprietary Business Information

Permitting / routing / siting Proprietary Business Information

ROW / land acquisition Proprietary Business Information

Materials & equipment Proprietary Business Information

Construction & commissioning Proprietary Business Information

Construction management Proprietary Business Information

Overheads & miscellaneous costs Proprietary Business Information

Contingency Proprietary Business Information

Total component cost \$700,000.00

Component cost (in-service year) \$772,669.00

Congestion Drivers

None

Existing Flowgates

FG#	Fr Bus No.	From Bus Name	To Bus No.	To Bus Name	СКТ	Voltage	TO Zone	Analysis type	Status
2022W3-GD-W50	200064	PCHBTM1S	200004	CNASTONE	1	500	232/230	Winter Gen Deliv	Included

New Flowgates

Proprietary Company Information

Financial Information

Capital spend start date 09/2023

Construction start date 07/2025

Project Duration (In Months) 45

Cost Containment Commitment

Cost cap (in current year) Proprietary Business Information

Cost cap (in-service year) Proprietary Business Information

Components covered by cost containment

- 1. 25B New double circuit 230kV transmission line from new Muddy Creek switchyard to the point where PPL's Manor Graceton 230kV transmission line crosses Peach Otter Creek 500kV transmission line NEETMA
- 2. 25C New single circuit 230kV transmission line from where PPL's Manor Graceton 230kV transmission line crosses Peach Bottom Otter Creek 500kV transmission line to where the Otter Creek Conastone 230kV transmission line begins NEETMA
- 3. 26A New 500kV transmission line from new North Delta substation to BGE's Conastone substation. NEETMA
- 4. 25a New Muddy Creek Substation- 6 terminal NEETMA
- 5. 26b New North Delta Substation 3 terminal NEETMA

Cost elements covered by cost containment

Engineering & design Yes

Permitting / routing / siting Yes

ROW / land acquisition Yes Materials & equipment Yes Construction & commissioning Yes Construction management Yes Overheads & miscellaneous costs Yes Taxes No **AFUDC** No Escalation No Additional Information **Proprietary Business Information**

Is the proposer offering a binding cap on ROE?

Would this ROE cap apply to the determination of AFUDC? Yes

Would the proposer seek to increase the proposed ROE if FERC finds that a higher ROE would not be unreasonable?

Is the proposer offering a Debt to Equity Ratio cap? **Proprietary Business Information**

Yes

No

Additional cost containment measures not covered above **Proprietary Business Information**

Additional Comments

None