

# Sub-zonal dispatch proposed for '11/'12 Delivery Year

DRS (Demand Response Subcommittee) 5/13/2011

www.pjm.com PJM©2011



- Current market rules allow zonal, lead time and sub-zonal dispatch:
  - Key limitation based on use of ALL CALL technology
- Significant changes to system topology for '11/'12
  - ATSI integration
  - TRAIL line implementation
- Successful implementation sub-zonal dispatch through State by Zone implementation in summer 2010.





# OATT

- Market Operations
- Attachment DD
- Attachment DD-1
- OA
- RAA
- M13
- M18
- M10



Aggregate portfolio for compliance

Granular Dispatch -only dispatch what is needed

- Sub zones defined in advance to enable CSP to easily dispatch their customers.
- Transparency of rules from Dispatch to Settlements (clear communication for customer expectations)
- Flexibility to manage the system based on unpredictable conditions



- Known major constraints that divide a zone (based on scarcity pricing definitions/analysis):
  - AP South: APS|East
  - East: Meted|East, PPL|East
  - Central: PN|East
  - West: PN|East
  - 5004/5005: PN|East
- Pending TRAIL implementation and redefinition of APSouth may create more subzones (or change existing):
  - Dom
  - PE
- Unknown constraints for more localized transmission constraints
  - Very difficult to predict
  - May be focused on major load center/metropolitan areas



# Revised Proposed '11/'12 procedure

- Sub-zonal dispatch by:
  - State/DC by zone combination
  - zone by zip code defined sub zone
  - LDA nested within zone
- CSP must have accurate zip code on location in eLRS this will be used to determined which registrations are dispatched.
- See posted document for list of zip codes for zip code defined subzones.
- If new sub zonal dispatch needed during the DY, PJM will publish list of zip codes 3 days in advance on a best efforts basis of anticipated need.
  - Publish list on pjm.com and ensure designation used on ALL CALL (and included in Emergency messages) is mapped to list of zip codes.
  - PJM will only request sub-zonal dispatch on sub-zone that has not been pre-defined within 3 days if needed to ensure system reliability.
  - PJM will provide CSP with list of registrations required to respond upon request.
- Aggregate registrations (more than one location) must respond with all locations if at least 1 location is in sub-zone
  - Keep simple and avoid dispatching only some of the locations on a registration

Subzones are only dispatched when necessary – this should be a rare situation



#### Expected Subzones 💌 Subzone? 💌 Subzone Type Zone **AECO** na **BGE** na COMED na DAY na DUQ na **JCPL** na PECO na RECO na **PSEG** North LDA DPL South LDA PEPCO DC State DPL DE State AEP IN Υ State AEP ΚY State APS MD Υ State DPL MD State PEPCO MD Υ State AEP MI State DOM NC State AEP ОН State ATSI OH Υ State APS Υ PΑ State ATSI PΑ State AEP TN State AEP State VA APS VΑ Υ State DOM VA State DPL VΑ State AEP WV State APS WV State APS **APS|East** Zipcode METED METED|East Zipcode PPL Zipcode **PPL|East**

Zipcode

PENELEC PENELECIEast Y

# Sub-zonal Dispatch ('11/'12 procedure)

Zones that are not expected to have subzones

- •Any zone or subzone may be called by lead time.
- •Zones that have sub-zones may be called at zone or sub-zone level.
  - •Will not dispatch "Rest of" area.

Zones that have predefined subzones

7 PJM©2011



- Sub-zonal dispatch communication same as zonal dispatch
  - ALL CALL (primary mechanism)
    - List of zip codes & subzone name will be published on pjm.com for zip code specific subzones
  - eDATA emergency messages
  - eLRS
    - Event message
    - Email (based on user preference)



- Number of events used to determine event multiplier based on number of events the registration has been dispatched
  - PEPCO DC dispatched twice
  - PEPCO zone dispatched once
  - 33% for PEPCO DC registrations (since they were actually dispatched 3 times) and 50% for non DC PEPCO resources (since they were actually only dispatched once and need to take min of 50% or 1/# events)
- Sub-zonal commitment based on registrations that were dispatched:
  - ILR = nominated capacity of registrations dispatched
  - DR = DR commitment \* (nominated value of DR registrations dispatched/Total nominated value of DR registrations in zone).
  - CSP may not use other zonal registrations to substitute sub-zonal registrations that are dispatched.
  - Registrations dispatched based information submitted by CSP for location in eLRS.
    - PJM will use zip codes in eLRS just prior to event to determine exactly which registrations are required to respond.



- If registrations not dispatched then still required to perform annual test.
  - For example in 2010:
    - APS (WV, VA and MD) dispatched and therefore not required to Test
    - APS (PA) NOT dispatched and therefore required to Test
      - If test already conducted it is not necessary for CSP to submit the results.
- Sub-zonal commitment based on registrations that were NOT dispatched:
  - ILR = nominated capacity of registrations NOT dispatched
  - DR = DR commitment \* (nominated value of DR registrations NOT dispatched/Total nominated value of DR registrations in zone).
  - CSP may not use other zonal registrations that were dispatched to substitute registrations that are required to test.



- PJM will make necessary information available to CSPs to fully understand determination of any penalties:
  - Event multipliers
  - Sub-zonal commitment (this is based on simple proration previously described)
  - Determine if feasible to get report included into MSRS



- Dominion Zone
  - Tornado activity in eastern portion
  - DOM|NC Load Management (87 MW) not enough to mitigate
  - Decision
    - Dispatch entire Dominion zone 1,006 MW
      - OR
    - Dispatch East portion with problem for 243 MW
- Issue was resolved and it did not require Emergency conditions and therefore did not require Load Management.
  - Incremental emergency energy cost to system if entire zone was dispatched ~\$4.5mm.



## Pros

- Complies with obligations under the tariff (clarification in M-13 may still be necessary)
- Provide transparency on how subzones will be dispatched (zip code basis and not Pnode)
- Complete process that leverages existing infrastructure
- Allows flexibility when necessary for unexpected conditions
- Always dispatch entire registration to keep simple for CSP.
- Up-front transparency based on known sub-zones
- 3 day notification period for new subzones on best effort basis
- PJM to provide registration list upon request.
- PJM to make compliance penalty reporting available to improve transparency when sub zonal dispatch occurs

### Cons

- All possible subzones are not pre-defined and CSP responsible for compliance
- PJM may dispatch entire zone when subzone could have alleviated the issue (LSE cost issue)
- CSP dispatch infrastructure may need short term investment to make operational



- Maintain existing flexibility and require every zip code to be a predefined subzone
  - Administrative challenges for CSPs
  - Scope transitioning into longer term discussion that needs more discussion.
- Rule on no new subzones during Delivery Year
  - May jeopardize system reliability.
  - Timing requires tariff change and do not have time to implement for '11/'12. This is really not a short term option.
  - Cost PJM may be required to dispatch entire zone when only small area will help reliability issue.