



EKPC Local Planning Assumptions for 2024 Planning Cycle

December 2023

EKPC Project Identification Process

- EKPC develops three different categories of projects:
 - **Baseline projects** are projects that address planning criteria violations which originate from internal analysis and/or PJM RTEP analysis.
 - **Network upgrade projects** are developed in conjunction with PJM to provide facilities for connection of new generation facilities and/or upgrades in output of existing generation facilities.
 - **Supplemental projects** are not covered by baseline analysis and address the following drivers:
 - Equipment Material Condition, Performance and Risk
 - Operational Flexibility and Efficiency
 - Customer Service
 - Infrastructure Resilience
 - Other

EKPC Baseline Planning Criteria

- EKPC plans its system to meet:
 - NERC TPL Reliability Standards
 - SERC regional criteria
 - PJM planning criteria
 - EKPC transmission planning criteria
- EKPC planning criteria for both BES and non-BES facilities is similar to Table 1 of the existing NERC TPL Standards in most respects
 - Primary difference -- EKPC considers the loss of a line, transformer, or generator in conjunction with the loss of a generator to be a single-contingency (P1) event subject to the performance requirements for P1 events.
 - EKPC planning criteria are posted at <http://www.pjm.com/planning/planning-criteria/to-planning-criteria.aspx>

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions

- EKPC will share its baseline assessment results with PJM, and will work with PJM to analyze and validate results.
- EKPC generally uses a 10-year baseline planning horizon, but will expand the planning horizon to 15 or 20 years, as appropriate and necessary for specific areas of its system.
- EKPC will either interpolate or extrapolate power flow results from these models to determine specific timing of needs.

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions (cont.)

- EKPC jointly develops internal base cases with LGE/KU for EKPC baseline project planning studies
 - EKPC and LGE/KU have 56 free-flowing interconnections
 - EKPC has 58 distribution delivery points connected to the LGE/KU system (800+ MW at peak)
 - LGE/KU has 17 distribution delivery points connected to the EKPC system (100+ MW at peak)
 - System topology is based on the 2022 MMWG modeling efforts extended to include both the EKPC and LGE/KU respective area topology updates since the creation of the MMWG models.
 - All EKPC known future baseline and supplemental projects are modeled as in-service in the appropriate year.
- EKPC considers external contingencies from neighboring systems (AEP, DEOK, LGE/KU, and TVA) in addition to all internal EKPC contingencies in its baseline project planning

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions

- For the 2024 planning cycle, EKPC utilizes the following power flow models for assessment of EKPC's Form 715 criteria violations:
 - 2025, 2028, 2033 summer peak (50% load probability)
 - 2025/26, 2028/29, 2033/34 winter peak (50% load probability)
 - 2025, 2028, 2033 summer extreme (10% load probability)
 - 2025/26, 2028/29, 2033/34 winter extreme (10% load probability)
- All power-flow models, analysis files, and results can be provided to interested stakeholders via PJM's CEII process.

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions (cont.)

- EKPC uses the following load forecast assumptions/methodology:
 - EKPC uses substation load forecasts developed internally
 - Developed using a top-down approach
 - Start with overall EKPC system forecast developed by EKPC Load Forecasting department approved by EKPC's Board of Directors. For the 2024 planning cycle, the load forecast was approved in 2022.
 - The overall EKPC system forecast is segmented by the EKPC Load Forecasting department among the 16 EKPC distribution cooperatives based on a variety of factors, including historical load, anticipated growth in service area residential, commercial, and industrial builds, etc.
 - EKPC Transmission Planning then allocates each distribution cooperative forecast among the delivery points for that distribution cooperative using similar factors.
 - Individual substation forecasts are modified as necessary based on known or likely added customer demand.

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions (cont.)

- EKPC baseline planning models use two sets of forecast probabilities
 - 50/50 probability for summer and winter (equal probability of actual load being above or below the forecast)
 - 90/10 probability for summer and winter (10% probability of actual load reaching the forecast)
- Modeled power factor at each substation is based on the substations previous year actual power factor at summer peak (for summer models) and winter peak (for winter models).

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions (cont.)

- EKPC uses the following generation assumptions:
 - EKPC generators are dispatched as needed to meet EKPC load based on economic merit order.
 - The Laurel Dam and Greenup hydroelectric facilities are connected to the EKPC transmission system, and are therefore modeled as generation within the EKPC zone.
 - For baseline planning studies, EKPC assumes the Laurel Dam generation is offline.
 - Rationale for this assumption is the uncertain nature of availability to operate due to the primary goal of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers being the control of lake level and flood control.
 - There is no guarantee that the facility will be generating during peak-load conditions, and a reasonable likelihood that generation will not be available.
 - For baseline planning studies, EKPC assumes the Greenup Hydro generation is at half output and non-scalable.
 - Rationale for this assumption is this unit is run of river and the uncertain nature of the water level that controls the units output.

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions (cont.)

- EKPC will use the following solar generation assumptions for facilities that are not in suspension and have executed ISA/ICSA and KY Certificate to Construct:
 - Solar generating facilities connected to the EKPC transmission system will be modeled as generation within the EKPC zone.
 - For baseline planning studies, solar facilities without storage EKPC will model the PJM-identified maximum facility output for the solar in the summer peak models and zero output in the winter peak models.
 - For baseline planning studies, solar facilities with storage EKPC will model the PJM-identified maximum facility output for the solar and storage in the summer peak models and only the storage output in the winter peak models.
- EKPC will use the following behind the meter (BTM) generator assumptions:
 - BTM generation will not be explicitly modeled.
 - The delivery point load where the BTM generator is connected, will be reduced by the generator actual output.

EKPC Baseline Planning Methodology & Assumptions (cont.)

- EKPC uses the generation dispatch scenarios below during annual planning analysis. These generation dispatch scenarios, when coupled with a contingency, are assumed to create the worst case power flow condition for the EKPC system.

Generation Outages with Replacement Generation Imported From North of EKPC's System	
Brown 3	AD2-048
Cooper 1&2	AE2-071
JK Smith 9&10	AF1-038
AE1-143	AF1-050
AE2-254	AF1-083
AC1-074/075	

Generation Outages with Replacement Generation Imported From South of EKPC's System	
Big Sandy	AE1-143
Brown 3	AE2-254
Cooper 1&2	AC1-074/075
Ghent 1	AD2-048
JK Smith 9&10	AE2-071
Spurlock 2	AF1-038
Trimble 2	AF1-050
Mill Creek 4	AF1-083

Supplemental Projects

- Supplemental Projects – EKPC supplemental projects are identified based on the following drivers:
 - Equipment Material Condition, Performance and Risk
 - Operational Flexibility and Efficiency
 - Customer Service
 - Infrastructure Resilience
 - Other

Supplemental Projects – Equipment Material Condition, Performance and Risk

- **Equipment Material Condition, Performance and Risk projects** are identified to address degraded equipment performance, material condition, obsolescence, equipment failure, safety and environmental impact.
- Project drivers include:
 - Safety
 - Transmission infrastructure replacements based on condition, obsolescence, or equipment that has reached its end of life
 - Environmental drivers
 - Other
- Inputs considered include:
 - Outage history, maintenance history, condition assessment reports, number of customers/amount of load/type of load at risk, current EKPC standards for design or equipment type, etc.

Supplemental Projects – Operational Flexibility and Efficiency

- **Operational Flexibility and Efficiency projects** are identified to optimize system configuration, equipment duty cycles, restoration capabilities and to minimize outages.
 - Project drivers include:
 - Enhancing system functionality, flexibility, or operability
 - Recurring real time equipment overloads and/or undervoltages
 - PCLLRW frequency
 - Number of outages and annual outage duration
 - Load exposure
 - Adding additional capacity/margin in the system for adequate performance during extreme load events and/or multiple simultaneous facility outages
 - Inputs considered include:
 - Number of customers/amount of load/type of load at risk, number of PCLLRWs, restrictions in ability to take maintenance outages, operational loading/voltage concerns, etc.

Supplemental Projects – Customer Service

- **Customer service projects** are identified to address customer outage exposure, equipment loading, load growth or to interconnect new customer load.
 - Project drivers include:
 - Member System Needs
 - Identified based on Member System requirements for service to end-use customers
 - SAIDI, CAIDI, number of outages and annual outage durations
 - New customer connections/modifications to existing customer connections
 - Economic development infrastructure needs
 - Inputs considered include:
 - Member system/customer input, ability to serve from existing system, outage history, etc.

Supplemental Projects – Infrastructure Resilience

- **Infrastructure Resilience projects** improve the system's ability to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and/or rapidly recover from a potentially disruptive event, including severe weather, geo-magnetic disturbances or physical and cyber security challenges.
- Project drivers include:
 - Network existing radial facilities
 - Building new 69kV for future higher voltage conversion and eliminate 69kV in dense load pockets
 - Adding redundant facilities
 - Infrastructure hardening (additional physical/cyber security, replacement of equipment, etc.)
 - Limiting the number of taps/distribution substations between circuit breakers
- Inputs considered include:
 - Load exposure, long-term plan compatibility, outage history, type/amount of load at risk, environmental considerations, etc.

Supplemental Projects – Other

- These projects would address concerns not discussed in the other definitions.
- Project drivers include:
 - Industry recommendations
 - Technological pilot projects
 - Potential generation retirements
 - Other drivers not covered by other categories of Supplemental Projects

EKPC Project Cost Estimates

- The estimated project costs EKPC provides as part of the solution presentations are preliminary in nature and are based on the initial scope identified for the projects. These estimates are targeted to be (+/-) 50% of the actual project cost.
- These estimates are generally based on past actual costs of projects that are similar in nature and have not been refined at this point based on a more detailed engineering effort. EKPC includes appropriate levels of contingency in these estimates to account for the very early nature of these estimates, as well as uncertainties typically applicable to each specific type of transmission projects.
- EKPC continues to update and refine these cost estimates as projects progress beyond the initial stage, and these updated estimates are provided to PJM for posting on its Project Status & Cost Allocation page.

EKPC Project Approval Process

- EKPC has a Capital Management Committee (CMC) responsible for overseeing the transmission capital project development process.
 - Process starts with a problem to be addressed.
 - SME team is established to develop solution alternatives to address the identified problem.
 - Each alternative is scored to determine the best holistic solution to recommend for CMC approval.
 - All alternatives considered and the recommended solution are presented to the CMC.
 - CMC decides whether to approve the recommended solution, an alternative solution, or to not address the problem with a project for a supplemental need.
 - All projects approved by the CMC move on for final approval at the appropriate EKPC management level (COO, CEO, and Board of Directors) based on project cost.





EKPC Assumptions and Methodology – EOL Needs

SRRTEP- Western Committee Meeting

December 15, 2023

Attachment M3 Project Assumptions – EOL Needs

Attachment M3 EOL planning criteria - section (d) (1) (ii)

- Presented annually
 - Sub-regional RTEP assumptions meeting - section (c) (2)
 - Separately delineated
- Candidate EOL needs list
 - Identified by EOL planning process
 - Five-year projection
 - Submitted annually to PJM
 - Non-public, confidential, non-binding

Attachment M3 Project Assumptions – EOL Needs


EOL Needs – (b) (5)

- TO deems asset to be near the end of its useful life
 - Population
 - Transmission lines 100kV and above
 - EKPC has 962 miles of line in this category
 - Transformers
 - Not connected to distribution
 - 100kV and above on high-side
 - EKPC has 45 large power transformers in this category

Attachment M3 EOL Methodology

EKPC EOL Methodology

- Prescribed data is collected for each asset
- A preliminary health score is calculated from the revised data-set
- Asset population is ranked by the score
- A team of subject matter experts evaluates the population
 - Evaluation often includes a detailed on-site assessment
- EKPC acts on the findings
 - Maintenance action
 - Draft the five-year EOL candidate list
 - Follow up actions
 - PJM Attachment M3 process
 - EKPC internal project process



Example – Asset EOL Candidate List

Data analysis

- Subject matter experts
 - Health Score is generated from condition and performance data
 - Determine health score threshold indicating end of life (EOL)
 - Review ranking list to focus asset review
 - Detailed on-site assessments are conducted as required
 - Confirm 5 year EOL candidate list

Factor	Weight Percentage
Condition	75
Performance	25

Example – Asset EOL Candidate List

Performance Data

- Outages in the last five years
- Loading limits exceeded

Condition Data Examples

- Transformers
 - Age factored into score
 - DGA history (evidence of internal arcing or thermal fault)
 - Inspection and routine test data
 - Test results (power factor, partial discharge, infrared)
 - Visual inspections
 - Cooling system issues (fans, pumps, gauges)
 - On-site detailed condition assessments

Example – Asset EOL Candidate List

Performance Data

- Outages in the last five years
- Loading limits exceeded

Condition Data Examples

- Transmission Lines (regular patrols and detailed assessments as warranted)
 - >40 years old
 - Structure Issues (rust, loose components, rot, woodpecker holes, cross arm issues)
 - Conductor (splice issues, steel core corrosion and pitting, vandalism, broken strands)
 - Insulators flashed or broken
 - Guy, ground and static wire condition



Questions and Discussion